

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF)
 ILLINOIS,)
)
 Complainant,)
) PCB 24-33
 vs.) (Enforcement -
) Water)
 CITY OF LASALLE, an)
 Illinois municipal)
 corporation,)
)
 Respondent.)
)

THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD HEARING called for examination pursuant to the provisions of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, as they apply to the taking of IPCB hearings, taken before Kathy L. Johnson, C.S.R., a Notary Public in and for the County of Henry, State of Illinois, on February 29th, 2024, at the hour of 10:00 a.m., at the LaSalle County Courthouse, 119 W. Madison Street, Ottawa, Illinois, 61350.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

I N D E X

WITNESSES:	PAGE:
TERRENCE BOYER	
DX BY MR. MCPHEDRAN	20
CX BY JOHN LAVIERI	46
CX BY JAMIE HICKS	46
RD BY MR. MCPHEDRAN	48
CX BY MARTY SCHNEIDER	52
CX BY BRIANNE HICKS	54
CX BY SHAWNA WILCOX	61
FRD BY MR. MCPHEDRAN	63
RC BY BRIANNE HICKS	69

E X H I B I T S

- Exhibit No. 1
 - Exhibit No. 2
 - Exhibit No. 3
 - Exhibit No. 4
 - Exhibit No. 5
 - Exhibit No. 6
 - Exhibit No. 7
- (All marked/retained by
counsels and Hearing Officer)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 94

A P P E A R A N C E S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
BY: MR. BRAD HALLORAN
Hearing Officer
60 E. Van Buren Street
Suite 630
Chicago, IL 60605
312-814-8917
Brad.Halloran@illinois.gov

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF ILLINOIS
BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER J. GRANT
69 W. Washington Street
Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60602
312-814-5388
cgrant@atg.state.il.us
Appeared on behalf
of the Complainant;

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF ILLINOIS
BY: MS. CARA V. SAWYER
69 W. Washington Street
Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60602
312-814-5388
cara.sawyer@ilag.gov
Appeared on behalf
of the Complainant;

MEYER AND FLOWERS
BY: MR. JAMES MCPHEDRAN
1200 Maple Drive
Peru, IL 61354
815-223-0230
jim@meyers-flowers.com
Appeared on behalf of
the Respondent.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A P P E A R A N C E S

MEYER AND FLOWERS
BY: MR. PATRICK J. HERRMANN
1200 Maple Drive
Peru, IL 61354
630-576-9696
pjh@mfbhlaw.com
Appeared on behalf of
the Respondent.

1 (Hearing start time: 10:00 a.m.)

2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Good morning,
3 everyone. My name is Bradley Halloran. I'm a
4 hearing officer with the Illinois Pollution
5 Control Board and I'm also assigned to this case.
6 It's entitled People of the State of Illinois,
7 Complainant, versus City of LaSalle, Respondent.

8 Our docket number is PCB 24-33. It's an
9 enforcement in the water. How we got here today
10 is the parties filed a stipulation, a proposal
11 for settlement. Some of the citizens in a timely
12 fashion filed a request to have a public hearing
13 and this is where we are today.

14 What I propose is to have the parties,
15 Complainant first, step up or stay seated and
16 give a summary of the stipulation, proposed
17 stipulation, and then the City of LaSalle by
18 their attorney can do the same.

19 And then we can have the public stand up
20 or sit up here somewhere and give their public
21 comment. I'm aware that there's, it looks like a
22 few more people are recording, more so than I
23 thought, but as long as the public commentators
24 don't mind them being recorded that's fine with

1 me. So any questions?

2 MR. MCPHEDRAN: No, except, Your Honor,
3 we'll have at least one, maybe two witnesses.

4 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right.

5 MR. MCPHEDRAN: They can come up.

6 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Miss
7 Sawyer, give your summation.

8 MS. SAWYER: Thank you, Mr. Halloran. As
9 the Hearing Officer stated, my name is Cara
10 Sawyer. I am here in my capacity as Assistant
11 Attorney General for the State of Illinois with
12 my co-counsel Christopher Grant.

13 Thank you for the opportunity to present
14 to you today and discuss the case with the
15 citizens. Thanks to them also for wanting to
16 share any relevant concerns with us.

17 Before we begin, Mr. Halloran, I would
18 just like to draw your attention to your last
19 order in which you stated that any public comment
20 must be relevant according to Section 101-3, and
21 relevant to the stipulation and proposal for
22 settlement and the related complaint.

23 I do have copies. I sent it out by email
24 so I assume most people have them, but I do have

1 copies if anybody wants. I have three. Maybe
2 you can share.

3 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I think for the record --
4 I don't mean to interrupt -- the stipulation is
5 also included in the exhibits I passed out, so
6 they should already have it.

7 MS. SAWYER: So the State would defer to
8 the Hearing Officer's judgment as to relevance
9 pursuant to the rules. You may object if
10 something seems particularly notable. But we
11 have no interest in, you know, stifling anybody's
12 statements.

13 We are here today though to specifically
14 discuss the stipulation that I mentioned. That
15 stipulation was filed on November 13th of 2023.
16 This document would resolve in the eyes of the
17 State any issues that we raised in a relevant
18 three count complaint we filed simultaneously
19 with that stipulation.

20 That three count complaint was regarding
21 two very specific three-year old violations
22 regarding the Southern Wastewater Treatment Plant
23 in the City of LaSalle. So those violations, if
24 I may just briefly review them. There were two

1 violations. First of all, there was a wastewater
2 discharge into the Illinois River. Those
3 discharges occurred in 2021 in August and June,
4 June and August.

5 Those exceeded current monthly and weekly
6 overage levels of two pollutants, total suspended
7 solids, and required an oxygenation factor. The
8 first two counts of that complaint addressed
9 these two overages.

10 The third count addresses the second
11 violation. That violation was a failure to
12 submit certain reports required by the permit for
13 that wastewater treatment plant from 2019. These
14 are the four corners of the document that was
15 discussed in that stipulation.

16 So the second violation was for three
17 report types that were due. And those report
18 types was as follows. Combined sewage outfall,
19 long-term control planning progress reports.
20 Those were due 2019 through 2021. There were
21 five reports.

22 A biomonitoring reporting schedule
23 report. It was required to monitor impact of
24 outflow on aquatic species. Four reports were

1 due from 2019 through 2020. And then the third
2 type of report was a phosphorus discharge
3 optimization plan type report. There were three
4 reports due from 2019 through 2021. Those were
5 required by the permits.

6 They were fully submitted. And pursuant
7 to the stipulation those report violations were
8 deemed completed. So that was the complaint.

9 We asked for certain things through that
10 complaint, and those things, as I mentioned, were
11 fully resolved in the stipulation.

12 So the stipulation and proposal for
13 settlement, subject to this hearing, it is very
14 common to file these two documents together.

15 My office and the Illinois Environmental
16 Protection Agency find the stipulation is fair
17 and that it fully addresses the issues that were
18 raised in the four corners of that complaint
19 about the wastewater treatment plant.

20 It includes relief that addresses each of
21 the alleged violations that we allege in the
22 complaint and it also includes a penalty amount.
23 So briefly let me just go over the details of the
24 stipulation and of the stipulated penalty. So on

1 page three the stipulation includes LaSalle's
2 compliance activities as of the date of that
3 filed stipulation. It also addresses both
4 violations. That's on page eight.

5 And it does so by requiring future
6 compliance about both violations, and it requires
7 the City cease and desist from future violations
8 of the Act in the Illinois regulations. Excuse
9 me, of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

10 As for the penalty amount, the City has
11 agreed to pay a civil penalty that both my office
12 and the Illinois EPA believes is fair, and it
13 also serves the deterrent aspects of the Act.

14 Those -- that determination -- is made
15 pursuant to Section 42(h) of the Act. It sets
16 forth very specific conditions that the Board
17 considers for determining a civil penalty.

18 Those conditions are actually laid out in
19 the Act's -- the conditions are laid out on pages
20 five to six of the stipulation -- and they
21 include consideration of LaSalle's diligence in
22 returning to compliance.

23 It also considered it had no prior
24 violation of the Act. Further, the City

1 submitted its reports on February 22nd of 2022.
2 This was before we filed our complaint. And as a
3 filing stipulation, the City had had no further
4 violations of its Wastewater Treatment Plant
5 effluent overages.

6 And based on the analysis of these
7 factors we determined that a civil penalty of
8 \$5470 will both serve the Act's purpose, which is
9 to deter future violations, and it will also
10 encourage future voluntary compliance of similar
11 Respondents with the Act.

12 This is not an uncommon type of
13 stipulation, as the Hearing Officer may know.
14 The wastewater treatment plant is coming back
15 into compliance and all reports were submitted.
16 We have filed very -- we have filed very many
17 other stipulations that the Board has accepted.

18 This is no different. This case is not
19 unusual or novel. And also settlement in our
20 view can resolve things in ways that is much
21 better for the environment and also for taxpayer
22 dollars considering you're dealing with two
23 government entities here.

24 Lastly and most importantly, the Board

1 has been considering stipulations for over 50
2 years. This is a very common practice since the
3 Act was passed. So I think that summarizes the
4 settlement and I'll go on to the next person.

5 Thank you.

6 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Sawyer.
7 Mr. McPhedran?

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank
9 you, Ms. Sawyer. Thank you. May it please Mr.
10 Hearing Officer, Your Honor, and counsel, Ms.
11 Sawyer and Mr. Grant, my colleague Mr. Herrmann.

12 The participants who have indicated that
13 they are here, that would include Dawn Hicks,
14 Brianne Hicks, Marty Schneider.

15 I don't know if Lindsay is here, but if
16 she is, with respect to all the hearing
17 officers and -- not hearing officers, the
18 participants. Thank you for coming. Thank you
19 for your comments. And the City welcomes them.

20 I've been in a lot of different legal
21 forums in over 30 years of practice and a lot of
22 courtrooms. This as far as the proceedings is,
23 at least for me, a little bit unique as far as
24 finding precedent, because I do not find in my

1 research and investigation that there have been
2 many prior hearings of this nature. Very few in
3 fact. I don't think present counsel for the
4 Attorney General's Office have either been
5 involved in one in their careers, and they do
6 this all the time.

7 Having said that, we're going to follow
8 the rules of the Pollution Control Board and the
9 Hearing Officer's order.

10 In that regard, I must let some members
11 of the public know, and in this kind of a case I
12 feel from the City's standpoint we have two -- we
13 have an audience of the Pollution Control Board,
14 and we have the audience participants and the
15 public as well, and we're sensitive to both of
16 those.

17 And we want to try and inform the public
18 today about more information in regard to the
19 stipulation and explain some things.

20 But unfortunately, because of the rules
21 of the Pollution Control Board and the Hearing
22 Officer's order, which was entered because the
23 Hearing Officer was on the Pollution Control
24 Board, there are some things that public interest

1 for both the residents and the City that will not
2 be presented; nor will you hear them talked about
3 by the State and the Attorney General. Nor will
4 you hear us being -- presented in our, what we
5 call our case-in-chief -- anything from the City.

6 That includes certain topics which I
7 believe that the representative of the Attorney
8 General representatives will both agree on, and I
9 appreciate the Hearing Officer.

10 This case is about alleged allegations in
11 regard to discharge from the south wastewater
12 treatment plant in LaSalle on River Street that
13 discharges through outfall 001 into the Illinois
14 River.

15 It has no relevance as far as the case is
16 concerned, even though it may be of great public
17 interest, in regard to water quality in the City
18 of LaSalle.

19 It also has no relevance, which may also
20 be of public concern, in regards to -- in regard
21 to a certain pipe that goes off in the Carus
22 plant into the Vermilion River, not the Illinois
23 River.

24 And I would ask that, Your Honor, if you

1 could indicate that I'm correct in that, and I
2 believe that the representatives of the Attorney
3 General will also indicate that.

4 As far as drinking water, this case is
5 not regarding drinking water quality, and it also
6 would not be relevant in regard to a pipe that
7 discharges into the Vermillion River. That is
8 not the subject of this either.

9 Do both representatives of the Illinois
10 Attorney General's Office concur with that?

11 MS. SAWYER: Yes, we do.

12 MR. GRANT: Yes.

13 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I do want to
14 underline the fact that the only thing relevant
15 are the four corners of the stipulation filed
16 November 23rd, 2023.

17 The counsels for the parties may object
18 if they feel like the comments are going outside
19 the relevancy of the document. You may proceed,
20 sir.

21 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Yes. And so for that
22 reason and following the rules of the Pollution
23 Control Board and hearing the court's order. I
24 may have a responsibility in following those

1 rules to make certain objections. And that's
2 only because of what the hearing officer has
3 indicated, what he's got in his order, and what
4 the Attorney General's Office has related.

5 It isn't that we don't respect the
6 interests of the public and respect the citizens'
7 right to comment. We certainly do. But this
8 hearing, per the law, per the hearing board
9 Pollution Control Board rules, has certain
10 parameters that we must respect as well.

11 And in regard to that, in the stipulation
12 we're going to present some testimony -- you all
13 have copies of -- Exhibit One is the stipulation.
14 Exhibit Two is the City's acts of compliance as
15 the State has appropriately acknowledged.

16 In regard to the effluent issues, they
17 were with regard to two alleged overages of
18 concentration level of BODs in 2021. And those
19 were two months out of -- well, we'll have them
20 further explain.

21 However, it happened in a high rainfall
22 and a high storm fall. It hasn't happened, at
23 least according to the State's records and my
24 knowledge, since. Additionally, in regard to the

1 reporting requirements, it's important to note
2 that while there's some periods of transition
3 with the City and also some of it was during
4 COVID, certain reports regarding long-term
5 control plan and the extensive work that the City
6 was doing, spending hundreds of thousands of
7 dollars in regard to working through its
8 long-term control plan, certain reports didn't
9 get submitted.

10 And additionally, in regard to certain
11 biomonitoring, while the data was being collected
12 the City thought the reports -- and we don't have
13 proof -- so for purposes of today we have to
14 acknowledge we don't have evidence that they got
15 submitted.

16 But the data was being collected. And
17 that's evident in Exhibit Two that you have. Mr.
18 Boyer, who's a registered engineer in a number of
19 states, will testify to some of this briefly to
20 explain to the public.

21 Also, in regard to phosphorus, it wasn't
22 that phosphorus wasn't reported on -- the levels
23 of phosphorus -- in monthly discharge reports.
24 It was. There's a suspicion out there, and I

1 don't have that confirmed, but there was no
2 allegation that phosphorus, only an optimization
3 plan, short report needed to be sent in that was
4 done. The State agrees the City is in full
5 compliance on that.

6 And as they have indicated, in total
7 compliance in regard to all of the other issues
8 that were involved that would be related to this
9 hearing through this day.

10 That's not just the City's position,
11 that's indicated in the stipulation and was
12 acknowledged by these representatives of the
13 Attorney General's Office who have throughout
14 this process expressed very strong interest in
15 making sure that the environment is preserved and
16 protecting the public and the citizens, and the
17 City respects that very much.

18 While we did not stipulate to certain of
19 the alleged liability in regards to some of these
20 we did agree to the settlement. The City
21 maintains that considering the statutory factors
22 in Section 42(h) -- those are the legal jargon
23 for what the parameters that the Pollution
24 Control Board is considering and the

1 reasonableness of the County -- that the
2 settlement is fair and reasonable and should be
3 approved by the Pollution Control Board. And
4 we're here now to present some good additional
5 evidence in furtherance of some of the things
6 I've suggested, Your Honor.

7 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir. You
8 know, I was remiss today. I'm not sure I said
9 today was February 29th, 2024. I think it's Leap
10 Day. And I notice for the record there are about
11 20, 25 folks in the audience.

12 I'm not sure how many people are going to
13 give public comment. I know Mr. Schneider,
14 Brianna Hicks, Dawn Hicks. And I'm not sure,
15 I've got two other names here, Karry King and
16 Lindsay Jones.

17 But in any event, do you think we need a
18 sign-up sheet if there's going to be many more
19 than the ones I just ticked off? Okay. I see
20 some -- yes?

21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I would like to speak.

22 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right.
23 Really I think that's all we had to do. Officer,
24 can we use this witness area here?

1 OFFICER: Yes.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So
3 whoever's -- whoever's first -- they can step up.

4 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Well, Your Honor, may I
5 call Mr. Boyer first?

6 HEARING OFFICER: Oh, I'm sorry. My bad.
7 Go ahead, sir.

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Thank you. I call Mr.
9 Terry Boyer.

10 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Would you like
11 him sworn in?

12 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Yes, please.

13 (Witness sworn)

14 TERRENCE BOYER,
15 Professional Engineer,
16 being first duly sworn on oath, was examined and
17 testified as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY

19 MR. MCPHEDRAN:

20 Q. Mr. Boyer, could you state your name for
21 the record, please?

22 A. Terrence Boyer.

23 Q. And could you state your profession and
24 occupation for the record?

1 A. I'm a professional engineer in the state
2 of Illinois.

3 Q. And how long have you been a professional
4 engineer, sir?

5 A. Since 1992.

6 Q. Could you give us some brief background,
7 synopsis, of your education, professional
8 training, and things of that nature?

9 A. Sure. I graduated University of Illinois
10 here with a Bachelors of Science in civil
11 engineering. Went on and got my Masters of
12 Science in civil environmental engineering.

13 I moved to California where I worked
14 with the Orange County Sanitation Districts for
15 about 11 or 12 years doing wastewater design.

16 Came back here in 2000 and have worked
17 for two or three different consulting firms, you
18 know, in helping small communities around the
19 state or other states, you know, accomplish their
20 goals for water and wastewater treatment.

21 Q. And are you registered as an engineer in
22 the state of Illinois?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And how long have you been so registered?

1 A. That would be since 2000.

2 Q. And have you -- has the focus of your
3 work been involved in wastewater treatment plan
4 and to a degree what's not subject here, drinking
5 water too?

6 A. Yes, both wastewater and water.

7 Q. And in connection with your working
8 background have you also worked for a number of
9 years as a consultant engineer in regard to the
10 City of LaSalle?

11 A. Yeah. I believe I started discussion
12 stuff with Sam McNeilly back in 2008, and was
13 hired by the City I think around 2012 to start
14 working with the City, and I've been working for
15 the City since that time.

16 Q. And are you familiar in regard to your
17 work with the City with the City's wastewater
18 treatment plants, and specifically the wastewater
19 treatment plant on River Street referenced as the
20 south plant?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And you're familiar with the effluent and
23 the operation of that plant in regard to outfall
24 number one?

1 A. Correct. Yes.

2 Q. And in regard to the -- have you also --
3 when the alleged violations that were filed by the
4 State -- not filed but the original notice of
5 alleged violations back in the fall of 2021 --
6 were you brought in in regard to assisting in
7 regard to compliance and response?

8 A. Yeah. I believe pretty soon after the
9 City had received the Notice of Violation from the
10 Illinois EPA they asked me to help resolve what
11 was going on with that violation.

12 Q. And in regard to what you were called to
13 do, did you also eventually assist in preparing a
14 report following your investigation?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. In assisting the City making certain it
17 got back into compliance?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And I bring up to you and show you a copy
20 of that which has been marked for identification
21 purposes as the City's Exhibit Number Two,
22 together with a copy of the stipulation regarding
23 the settlement, which has been marked as the
24 City's Exhibit Number One. May I approach the

1 witness, Your Honor?

2 HEARING OFFICER: You may.

3 BY MR. MCPHEDRAN:

4 Q. Now, Mr. Boyer, have you had the
5 opportunity to review Exhibit Number One?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And indeed did you review that exhibit
8 prior to the time and were part of the City's team
9 in regard to agreeing that that stipulation and
10 proposal for settlement was reasonable?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And did you concur that it was
13 reasonable?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And in regard to Exhibit Number Two,
16 you're familiar with that document?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. In fact, while it was signed off on by
19 Patrick Watson, who I understand was the
20 superintendent of Public Works, is it correct that
21 that document that I believe contains 74 pages was
22 actually authored by you yourself?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. So you authored it and Mr. Watson signed

1 off?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. In regard to authoring that document did
4 you make what you felt was a reasonably thorough
5 investigation in regard to the allegations that
6 would be relevant to this stipulation?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And sir, I'd ask you in regard to any
9 opinions you render here to render them within a
10 reasonable degree of certainty in your profession
11 as a registered engineer. May we have that
12 understanding?

13 A. Yes, understood.

14 Q. Now, in regard to -- in regard to number
15 one, which is the stipulation, and number two
16 which is the exhibit -- and I'm going to reference
17 to certain pages so the Hearing Officer and
18 counsel, I'm sure Ms. Sawyer is very familiar with
19 them anyway, but members of the public can easily
20 find what we're talking about. Okay.

21 And copies have been sent, given to
22 numerous members of the public.

23 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Does anyone in the
24 public not have one that would like one? Two

1 more.

2 BY MR. MCPHEDRAN:

3 Q. Now, in regard to the stipulation, first
4 of all, on page two, paragraph four, is there an
5 indication just for the record as to which
6 wastewater treatment plant is involved and which F
7 -- which discharge outflow we're talking about?

8 A. That's correct. It's the south
9 wastewater treatment plant through its outfall
10 001.

11 Q. And then in regard to the allegations,
12 are they summarized on page two of that
13 stipulation in paragraphs one, two and three?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And in regard to this stipulation, is it
16 also indicated, you know, for the record on page
17 three, paragraph six, that while the City
18 stipulated for the purpose of compromising
19 disputed claims, but by entering the stipulation
20 it did not formally admit the allegations. Is
21 that correct, as part of the stipulation?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Now, having indicated that, in regard to
24 those allegations are they also summarized to a

1 degree in regard to the stipulation aspect in
2 regard to what some of the other provisions are?
3 And let's go to page five. Paragraphs one at the
4 bottom of the page. Do you see that paragraph
5 one?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Now, it references there alleged
8 effluent, effluent violations, in June and August
9 of 2021. Could you explain for the ladies and
10 gentlemen and Pollution Control Board and the
11 public in general what that was involved?

12 A. Yeah. If it's okay if I refer to Exhibit
13 Two.

14 Q. That's fine.

15 A. That's where I summarized a lot of that
16 in our response to --

17 Q. And is the summary in Exhibit Two on page
18 one, two and three?

19 A. It would be, that's correct.

20 Q. And is it also -- all right. Why don't
21 you -- and it's also -- is there a relevant
22 provision as far as the effluent violations? Is
23 that also covered on pages 19 through 22 with page
24 23 summarizing what the overages were? 24 I

1 should say.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay. Just so the public knows what
4 we're talking about, why don't you again explain
5 if you could?

6 A. Sure. I'll just summarize from Exhibit
7 Two, you know, what we had found from looking at
8 the data that the basic issue on the violations of
9 BOD and TSS were due to going through outfall 001
10 that's happening during a rain event, a wet
11 weather event, in which the treatment plant is
12 receiving a high level of flow to the wastewater
13 plant.

14 On two occasions in August, I think
15 one occasion in June, there were very significant
16 rainfall events that exceeded the capacity of the
17 wastewater plant.

18 That resulted in the BOD and TSS
19 violations to slightly go above as a monthly
20 average for concentration for those months out of
21 outfall 001, to in June we -- only BOD was
22 exceeded. It went from 30 milligrams per liter,
23 which is the limit, to 33.1.

24 In August the BOD was 32.5 and the TSS

1 concentration for the monthly average was 38.9.
2 And during that time frame looking at the
3 historical rain issues and the Illinois River
4 levels --

5 Q. Is that shown on page 23?

6 A. This is the rain information as shown on
7 page two of Exhibit Two.

8 Q. Page two? And there's also I think
9 something on page 23.

10 A. Correct. And so at the time the Illinois
11 River was in a very high flood stage due to heavy
12 rains that were happening around that time. And
13 so what we looked at was what happened throughout
14 the entire year of 2021 for concentrations, and
15 the mass concentrations, going into the river at
16 the time for BOD and TSS.

17 And on the graph below, the river
18 graph, there are two graphs there that show that
19 day in and day out the pounds per day of BOD and
20 the pounds per day of TSS were well below what the
21 permitted limits require, even out of the outfall.

22 Q. Even in June and August?

23 A. That is correct. As a, you know, daily
24 reckoning of what was going on. So those graphs

1 just show the daily, day in and day out. And the
2 intent of that was to identify and for the State
3 and for the Attorney General's Office that the
4 City day in, day out, is being very protective of
5 water quality going to the Illinois River.

6 The two events in question for June
7 and August were due to unusually high rain events
8 that the City didn't have any control over.

9 Q. And in your opinion within a reasonable
10 degree of certainty do you have an opinion as to
11 whether those two limited events adversely
12 impacted wastewater discharge and the environment
13 at all?

14 A. No, at that time there would not have
15 been a negative impact to the water quality in the
16 receiving stream due to the high level of rains at
17 the time.

18 Q. And that would be in the -- from high
19 level rains. And that receiving stream is the
20 Illinois River?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Now, is it correct that to your knowledge
23 -- and I think the State acknowledged it in their
24 opening -- that there have been no other -- what,

1 for the record, are BOD and TSS? We talked --
2 you're a scientist, you know that stuff -- but the
3 rest of us lay folks -- I've learned a bit about
4 it becoming a student as some of these things
5 arose, but I think it's fair to members of the
6 public to let them know what we're talking about.

7 A. Sure. BOD is a measure -- it's called --
8 it stands for biological oxygen demand. It's just
9 a measure of organic strength of what's in the
10 wastewater effluent. The treated effluent.

11 TSS stands for total suspended solids.
12 Again, that's a measure of the suspended solids
13 that are kind of left in the treated wastewater as
14 it goes into the Illinois River.

15 Q. And in regard to the -- in your opinion
16 -- it's your opinion that it had no adverse effect
17 on the environment as a whole?

18 A. Correct. That's what we've stated and
19 included in our Exhibit Two to the State.

20 Q. Now, in regard to the effluent, that is
21 the allegation in regard to Counts I and II,
22 correct?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. All right. Is there any allegation

1 anywhere either in the complaint, you see in the
2 complaint, or in the stipulation having anything
3 to do with the quality of drinking water or any
4 criticism of the quality of drinking water in the
5 City of LaSalle?

6 A. No, not in these.

7 Q. And in regards to the four corners of
8 that stipulation, is there any reference or any
9 discussion at all with regard to that certain
10 discharge pipe that goes from the Carus Chemical
11 Company out to the Vermillion River?

12 A. No.

13 Q. And in regard to the other reporting
14 violations -- before we get to that, is it also
15 acknowledged in the stipulation specifically on
16 page four, paragraph five at the bottom, is it
17 correct that the stipulation indicates that the
18 respondent, being the City, subsequent to the
19 notice of the alleged violations, has complied
20 with the Act and the Board and Illinois EPA
21 regulations?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. So the City is presently in full
24 compliance with regard to the issues that were the

1 subject of this complaint?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Now, additionally is it correct that the
4 stipulation provides that with regard to the City
5 coming into compliance -- well, wait a minute.
6 Let's talk about the other two alleged violations.

7 We talked about reporting. Reporting
8 in regard to the long-term control plan. For
9 those members, those of us who might not know,
10 what is a long-term control plan?

11 A. So the City has a combined sewage system
12 which means stormwater and sanitary sewage does
13 collect in the collection system.

14 And so since around 2009 the City
15 hired a consulting firm to perform and develop a
16 long-term control plan, which is required by the
17 State of Illinois in order to reduce the number of
18 overflows from CSO, that's combined sewage
19 overflows, to the receiving streams.

20 So that had been developed by 2011 I
21 want to say. It was approved by Illinois EPA in
22 2013. Around that time I started talking with the
23 City engineer about the requirements of the
24 long-term control plan, which the original

1 schedule was very burdensome as far as monetary
2 dollars that the City would have to spend very,
3 very quickly. So we worked on a financial
4 capability assessment to extend the time frame to
5 give the City time to, in an economically, you
6 know, cost-efficient way, move forward with the
7 improvements.

8 And since that time city engineers
9 have been accomplishing those things and he and I
10 worked together to make sure we're getting the
11 components of the long-term control plan
12 completed.

13 Q. And the reason you're assessing it is
14 because --

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And by the way, with regards to
17 compliance of the long-term control plan, this is
18 in addressing separating sewers and upgrading
19 plants, things of that nature having to do with
20 some things that occurred when sewers were built
21 50, 100 years ago --

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. -- or more, correct?

24 A. Correct.

1 Q. This isn't something that happened
2 currently, it's something most cities are
3 addressing in regard to their old sewer system?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And indeed while there were issues as far
6 as whether the City sent reports, what was your
7 understanding as to what happened in regard to not
8 sending some reports?

9 A. So I think some of these reports, they
10 were the long-term control updates were previously
11 put together by Jeff Bumgarner. He's no longer
12 with the City. I think during transition time
13 frame that action item got lost in the shuffle of
14 him transitioning out of the City.

15 Q. And was some -- some of the time period
16 also involved with Covid?

17 A. That is correct.

18 Q. But aside from that, there's a
19 requirement to submit the report. But I think
20 from the public standpoint, they want to know even
21 though the City may not have sent reports to the
22 EPA in a timely basis, was the work continuing to
23 be done? The substantive work --

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. -- to address the sewers from 100 years
2 ago being continued to being done in furtherance
3 of the plan?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And did that work include -- what are
6 some of the things that -- that it included? And
7 I think that is indicated on the stipulation on
8 page 30. Not the stipulation, Exhibit Two, page
9 30.

10 A. Correct. The long-term control plan
11 consists of a number of activities. Some are to
12 -- were to upgrade the CSO pump station number
13 three. Some were to do sewer separation to get
14 stormwater off of the sanitary sewer system.

15 And then monitoring components to see
16 how well the City was doing with those activities
17 and if they were accomplishing their goals.

18 Q. So while the report didn't get sent in as
19 to all the work the City was doing they were doing
20 work in regard to upgrading the pump station? And
21 that would be the plant we're talking about,
22 right?

23 A. No. The CSO three pump station is a
24 different location.

1 Q. Thanks for correcting me. Okay. But
2 that was part of the long-term control plan?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And also they did some sewer separation
5 in the area of Hegeler Park and did additionally
6 some sewer separation in the area of Harpe, Walnut
7 and Porter, amongst other places?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. And did the City during that time period
10 as far as working spend hundreds of thousands of
11 dollars in regard to --

12 A. That's my understanding, yeah. Those
13 were significant projects.

14 Q. And by the way, while the report didn't
15 get sent in, is there any allegation either in the
16 EPA's complaint or in the stipulation suggesting
17 that even though that report might not have got
18 sent in, that the work wasn't being done?

19 A. No, there was no indication of that.

20 Q. And indeed, there's all the work in
21 furtherance of that long-term control plan was
22 being done even in protecting the City even though
23 the reports hadn't been done?

24 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Let's move on also to the next thing,
2 biomonitoring reporting. What's that all about?

3 A. So as mentioned by the States Attorney's
4 Office that the biomonitoring reports are where
5 the effluent from the wastewater treatment plant
6 is introduced into a series of minnows, for lack
7 of a better word, to see the impact of the
8 wastewater effluent, the treated effluent, on the
9 receiving stream.

10 Q. And is that -- those types of reports --
11 required to be sent in on several occasions?

12 A. So starting on month 18, so 18 months
13 before the expiration of the permit, and then
14 again 15 months, then again 12 months, then again
15 nine months, so there's four times that it's done
16 shortly before the permit gets renewed.

17 It's done four times. We have
18 statistical repeatability on the test that assure
19 the Illinois EPA that your treated effluent does
20 not have a harmful impact to the receiving stream,
21 so...

22 Q. Now, those reports weren't sent in at the
23 time, correct?

24 A. They were not sent in, that's correct.

1 Well, let me back up. There's some at the City
2 that believe they were sent in but there was no
3 record kept or could not find a record that it had
4 been submitted.

5 Q. We don't have any proof they were sent
6 in, correct?

7 A. Right.

8 Q. And -- but aside from whether the report
9 got sent in -- we have no proof of it?

10 A. Yeah.

11 Q. We can -- let's own that point. We have
12 no proof we sent it in, right?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. But I suggest from the public standpoint
15 more importantly were the biomonitoring reporting
16 data being kept in a timely fashion at the time in
17 1920 (sic) and '21?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So that there was no issue as far as
20 collecting the data. The City in '22 when they
21 submitted their report, they didn't go back and
22 recreate this. This is something, they just
23 reported data that had been kept all along,
24 correct?

1 A. Correct. So they -- they -- they
2 followed the requirements of the permit to do
3 those biomonitoring tests at the time frames
4 required in the permit but just neglected to
5 follow up and send those to the agency.

6 Q. And in regard to when they did send them
7 into the agency, while the agency had an issue
8 with the point that the reports weren't timely
9 sent in, was there any issue with the agency in
10 the Notice of Violation or in the complaint as
11 filed -- and by the way, the Notice of Violation
12 originally received by the City also contained as
13 part of Exhibit Two, pages five through 10 -- was
14 there any mention in that, in any of those or in
15 the stipulation, of any concern in regard to the
16 substance of the data collected?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Was there any suggestion that the data
19 collected that was done for what your
20 investigation shows the timely basis
21 contemporaneous at the time, is there any
22 suggestion that that was at all in violation of
23 any EPA criteria?

24 A. No.

1 Q. And there was no allegation in regard to
2 that, correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And those reports did get sent in
5 February of 2022?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. In regards to the phosphorus, I think
8 there's a misconception. Was phosphorous in
9 regard to the discharge monitoring reports -- what
10 are discharge monitoring reports -- so the public
11 knows?

12 A. So every month the City records the data,
13 a certain frequency, the treated effluent to the
14 receiving stream. They keep track of that and
15 then they report that to the IEPA, I think it's
16 before the 10th or the 15th of each month for the
17 month before.

18 So they send the report in. It's
19 signed by the operator on record for the City.
20 And that documents the City is doing their due
21 diligence in operating the Wastewater Treatment
22 Plant.

23 Q. And were all of those discharge
24 monitoring reports sent in on a regular and timely

1 basis throughout even back in '19, '20, '21 and
2 through the present time?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Indeed, as those reports being filed
5 probably part of the basis for the EPA catching
6 the point that there was an effluent issue on
7 those two months in 2021?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. So this is something that the City did in
10 effect report timely to the EPA?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And in regard to the phosphorous levels,
13 were they also part of those discharge monitoring
14 reports to the EPA on a regular basis throughout
15 that time period and through the present?

16 A. So the permit, the current permit the
17 City has, requires the City to monitor only for
18 phosphorous. There's no effluent limit on the
19 permit, and so the City's been monitoring for
20 phosphorous. It includes that data in the DMR
21 submitted to the state.

22 Q. My point is, in layman's terms the
23 information as to what the phosphorous level was,
24 were back at the time reported and still are

1 reported to the EPA regularly, from those DMVs?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. And there was no complaint to the EPA in
4 regard -- providing information -- in regard to
5 the level of the phosphorous?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Now, there was a question that they had
8 to send a report in with regard to the -- any
9 further optimization plan -- and that is page 74
10 indicating -- that was submitted back in February
11 of '22, correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. However, that doesn't have anything to do
14 with reporting the level of the phosphorous that
15 was coming out from the outflow 001 into the
16 receiving stream, the Illinois River, correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And is it correct -- well, we already
19 talked about the State now in compliance. Is it
20 also correct that the stipulation includes,
21 amongst other things, in page six, paragraph two
22 thereof, that the Respondent, namely the City, was
23 diligent in regard to coming back into compliance
24 in regard to the allegations of the Notice of

1 Violation that are on page five through 10 of
2 Exhibit Two?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And that was part of the -- what the
5 State stipulated to -- in regard to the City
6 eventually coming into total compliance, correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, at this
9 time I would move for admission into evidence of
10 the City's Exhibit Number One, which is the
11 stipulation, first of all. I'll take them
12 separately.

13 HEARING OFFICER: Any objection,
14 State? Exhibit Number One is admitted.

15 MS. SAWYER: No.

16 MR. MCPHEDRAN: And I'd also tender
17 Exhibit Two for admission into evidence which is
18 the 2022 report that I also talked with the State
19 about I believe in regard to the City's
20 compliance.

21 HEARING OFFICER: Any objection, Ms.
22 Sawyer?

23 MS. SAWYER: No.

24 HEARING OFFICER: No objection.

1 Exhibit Two is admitted.

2 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, I don't
3 have any further questions at this time for this
4 witness.

5 HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Sawyer, any
6 questions of --

7 MR. GRANT: Can we have one minute?

8 HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

9 MS. SAWYER: No, we're okay, Your
10 Honor. Thank you.

11 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
12 Mr. McFergun, (sic) since we have -- McPhedran,
13 I'm sorry. Since we have Mr. Boyer up here could
14 we have anybody in the audience would like to
15 question him?

16 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I'm fine with that.

17 HEARING OFFICER: And if Mr. Boyer or
18 his attorney, they may want to reserve their
19 answer for any kind of post-hearing briefing
20 schedule.

21 So anybody in the audience that would
22 like to ask Mr. Boyer a question stand, state your
23 name, spell it for the court reporter, and
24 proceed. I see none.

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'll ask.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Okay, sir. Stand
3 up, please.

4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY

5 MR. LAVIERI:

6 Q. John Lavieri, L-a-v, like in Victor,
7 i-e-r-i. Under current regulations how many
8 combined CSO discharges during storms is any city
9 allowed per year? Is that a definite number even
10 though we're working toward zero?

11 A. Well, you're working towards four
12 overflows or less per year. That's the stated
13 goal of the long-term control plan. So as of the
14 last three years I believe that goal was met.

15 So that's what we documented back to
16 the Illinois EPA, is that we've achieved the
17 objective of the long-term control plan and asked
18 to be released from any further efforts on it.

19 MR. LAVIERI: Okay. Thank you.

20 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

21 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Sir?

22 CROSS EXAMINATION BY

23 MR. HICKS:

24 Q. My name's Jamie Hicks. J-a-m-i-e,

1 H-i-c-k-s. I just have one question. It's
2 basically on the reports. We're trying to blame a
3 guy that doesn't work for the City no more. We're
4 trying to say that forms got turned in but people
5 are saying they did, but they didn't. It
6 basically means they didn't. That's why the
7 violations are here.

8 My question is, is when you go back
9 and you start looking at some of the forms that
10 were filled out how do we know as citizens, as
11 residents of LaSalle, that these forms aren't just
12 filled in to make up for what wasn't being done at
13 that specific time?

14 Also, Jeff Bumgartner would not have
15 been the operator of the sewage treatment plant,
16 he would have been the Public Works Director. So
17 I'm a little confused as to why there isn't two
18 steps to this -- this paperwork thing.

19 A. Yeah, you'd have to discuss that with the
20 City personnel. I'm not involved in that, so...

21 Q. They refused to answer that kind of stuff
22 for me, so...

23 HEARING OFFICER: Keep your voice up,
24 please.

1 MR. HICKS: The City refuses to answer
2 that stuff for us. We've asked in meetings but I
3 figured I would ask you. Thank you.

4 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

5 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, we're here
6 to be responsive.

7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN:

9 Q. And to answer, this is based on your
10 investigation -- and by the way, I'm not trying to
11 guess. Maybe it wasn't Mr. Bumgartner. It
12 doesn't matter. The City missed the dates. We're
13 not quarreling with that.

14 We have no evidence they were sent in.
15 That's part of the reason that the stipulation was
16 agreed to. That's not -- that's not an issue.
17 The important thing is, sir, are you confident
18 from your investigation at the City at the time
19 that these reports were prepared
20 contemporaneously, not picked up and gotten later?

21 A. So the long-term control plan efforts
22 were ongoing at the time. The reports were not
23 done contemporaneously because they were missed,
24 so they were done afterwards and documented, the

1 actual efforts that were done in a timely manner.
2 The biomonitoring reports were done on the time
3 that they were required. They just were not
4 reported to the agency in a timely manner.

5 Q. Are you confident based on your
6 investigation that that happened in that it wasn't
7 the City coming in and just making up things later
8 on?

9 A. The dates are all in there from the lab,
10 you know, of when those were performed. So that
11 can all be documented and dated from Exhibit Two.

12 Q. So there's lab data to support what's in
13 your --

14 A. Right.

15 Q. -- report?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And you knew at least to some measure of
18 verification back at the time you sent these?

19 A. Yeah, I double-checked that it was
20 completed and trusted the conversation I had with
21 the City that these were completed.

22 Q. So you --

23 A. And then the last one, the phosphorous
24 discharge optimization plan, that report was done

1 after we were notified that it was not completed,
2 so that was done after the fact.

3 Q. The report was done after the fact but it
4 didn't have anything to do with the levels of
5 phosphorous?

6 A. Correct. It's a reporting feature in the
7 permit that, you know, it marks the 31st of every
8 year to report on activities related to optimizing
9 discharge of phosphorous out of the treatment
10 plant. And since the --

11 Q. You --

12 A. Since the optimization measures that we
13 had reviewed were far too expensive for the City
14 to do in a cost-effective manner the report would
15 simply say that there's nothing to report. But
16 it's a requirement to send that in even though
17 there's nothing to report.

18 Q. And that report that was sent in was
19 accepted by the State as being in full compliance?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. But being since the question's been
22 raised since the City did this timely I just want
23 to be clear, in your opinion these reports and the
24 data collected are supported by, more likely than

1 not, by lab data, that the actual data was
2 collected in the manner that you reported it?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And EPA also had an opportunity, should
5 they wish to, to investigate that lab data or
6 anything else that they wished in regard to
7 verifying that, correct?

8 A. That's correct. The EPA or the States
9 Attorney's Office as well.

10 Q. Meaning the Attorney General?

11 A. Yes. Sorry.

12 Q. Did the City fully cooperate with the
13 Attorney General's Office in regard with -- I
14 should say with the EPA because most of the
15 investigation was done by them -- in regard to
16 complying with any requests that were made as part
17 of the EPA's investigation of the alleged
18 violations?

19 A. I'd have to have you repeat the question,
20 it's so long. But --

21 Q. Sorry. Sometimes we ask convoluted
22 questions. In a nutshell, did the City fully
23 cooperate with the State EPA in regard to their
24 investigation when these Notice of Violations came

1 out?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Was anything withheld from the State?

4 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

5 Q. And you've prepared that report?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. You wouldn't want to be part of anything
8 that was hiding data or keeping anything --

9 A. Correct. The City's been very
10 transparent with the agencies.

11 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I have no other
12 questions for the witness.

13 HEARING OFFICER: I see a hand back
14 with the man in the hat. Please stand, state your
15 name and spell it for the court reporter, please.

16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon me?

17 HEARING OFFICER: State your name and
18 spell it for the court reporter, please.

19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Marty Schneider.
20 M-a-r-t-y, S-c-h-n-e-i-d-e-r.

21 CROSS EXAMINATION BY

22 MR. SCHNEIDER:

23 Q. I just want to know when Jamie asked you
24 questions about it you didn't have anything to do

1 with it and you couldn't answer it, but when he
2 led you in questioning and led you to your
3 answers, you seem to know everything about what
4 we're talking about when it comes to the data.

5 A. Do you have a specific question?

6 Q. The data that's provided --

7 HEARING OFFICER: Do you have a
8 question, sir?

9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yeah. Huh?

10 HEARING OFFICER: Do you have a
11 question?

12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Why does he have
13 amnesia when Jamie -- and he's not the person to
14 talk to when Jamie asked the question -- but when
15 the attorney asked you the same, basically the
16 same questions in different ways, you seem to have
17 the answers.

18 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Objection. Form of
19 the question, but I'll let him answer

20 HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.

21 THE WITNESS: And maybe I understood
22 the question a little bit better from the
23 attorney.

24 MR. MCPHEDRAN: The question's been

1 sustained.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. We have one
3 more question.

4 MS. HICKS: Yes, please.

5 HEARING OFFICER: Could you stand,
6 please, state your name and spell it for the court
7 reporter?

8 MS. HICKS: My name's Brianne,
9 B-r-i-a-n-n-e, Hicks, H-i-c-k-s.

10 CROSS EXAMINATION BY

11 MS. BRIANNE HICKS:

12 Q. So there's one statement that I didn't
13 find within the stipulation that I just wanted to
14 quote. The Illinois EPA information-gathering
15 responsibilities were hindered by the Respondent's
16 violations, thereby threatening human health and
17 the environment.

18 So that kind of contradicts what was
19 just said that there was no threat to the
20 wildlife.

21 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. McPhedran?

22 MR. MCPHEDRAN: That's a compound
23 question.

24 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I'm having

1 trouble understanding the question as well. Could
2 you restate, Ms. Hicks, please?

3 BY MS. HICKS:

4 Q. Why does it say within the stipulation
5 that the Illinois EPA information-gathering
6 responsibilities were hindered by the Respondent,
7 the City of LaSalle's, violations, but it was just
8 stated that there was no hindrance in their
9 information gathering?

10 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor --

11 MR. HICKS: Basically she's saying off
12 the EPA report --

13 HEARING OFFICER: Sir, you've got to
14 stand up, please, for the court reporter.

15 MR. HICKS: Basically what she's
16 asking, sir -- and it's Jamie Hicks, J-a-m-i-e,
17 H-i-c-k-s. Because in the report it says -- she
18 spent time on this, and she may not be asking it
19 right.

20 He's trying to -- he's trying to say
21 that the City was compliant with giving the
22 reports and all this information. But she's got
23 -- would you point to it again? I'm sorry. It
24 states here that the EPA info-gathering

1 responsibilities were hindered by the Respondent's
2 violations. They were hindered because you
3 weren't responding. But you just said we were
4 responding and being compliant with the EPA.

5 So her question is, is there's an
6 issue between what you're saying and what's being
7 said.

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, in regard
9 to form of the question I don't believe that there
10 is an issue. I believe what the IEP is saying is
11 that if you don't make a timely report that it
12 could hinder their effect.

13 If they later find out there's a
14 problem and it shows up that your data has a
15 problem --

16 MR. HICKS: They say --

17 HEARING OFFICER: One at a time, sir.

18 MR. HICKS: I'm sorry.

19 MR. MCPHEDRAN: And I think that's
20 what they're saying, and that's correct. And we
21 recognize that timely reporting is important.
22 That's a part of the reason why we agreed to the
23 stipulation that the reports weren't sent in a
24 timely basis. But that doesn't mean that the data

1 that was eventually collected was an issue with
2 the EPA, nor do they have any allegation on that.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I think the
4 transcript will reflect what the Hicks' are
5 asking, what Mr. Boyer testified to, and what his
6 counsel just stated. Yes, sir?

7 MR. HICKS: Just one more comment. It
8 says responsibilities were hindered. That means
9 at that time they were hindered. That's all I've
10 got to say.

11 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right.
12 Thank you. Sir?

13 MR. MCPHEDRAN: We'll acknowledge
14 theoretically they should be reported timely. We
15 don't disagree with that.

16 MR. GRANT: I think that the language
17 in the stipulation speaks for itself if there was
18 hindrance. I mean, you know, you can explain it
19 away as much as you want, but the stipulation
20 speaks for itself.

21 HEARING OFFICER: I agree, Mr. Grant.
22 Ms. Hicks?

23 MS. HICKS: Still -- do I have to say
24 my name again?

1 HEARING OFFICER: Well, I don't know.

2 Is this part of your public comment?

3 MS. HICKS: I do have another question
4 on the chart that --

5 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

6 MS. HICKS: -- he had created.

7 BY MS. HICKS:

8 Q. So this is for Exhibit Two, page two. It
9 says there's the following graph demonstrate on a
10 day-to-day basis. And of the stipulation the meat
11 -- or the measuring units -- are milligrams per
12 liter in this chart and provided as PPD, which I
13 assume is pounds per day?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. How do you convert that into milligrams
16 per deciliter?

17 A. I can give you the formula, so if you're
18 willing to do some math. But you take the
19 concentration of milligrams per liter, multiply it
20 by the flow in million gallons a day, and you
21 multiply that by 8.345 is the correct conversion
22 factor. And that gives you --

23 Q. Why would you not give it in milligrams
24 per liter in the chart?

1 A. So the -- what I was trying to
2 demonstrate with those charts -- is that the State
3 allows a certain pounds per day to the receiving
4 stream.

5 So those little boxes on the top of
6 that chart show that we can, you know, we can
7 discharge up to 834 pounds per day of BOD or on
8 the TSS up to 1000 in 43 pounds per day of TSS to
9 the Illinois River as a monthly average, you know,
10 on a day-by-day basis. So you can see by the left
11 hand axis on the graph we're well below 200.

12 So for the entire year of 2021 the
13 City's been very protective of water quality going
14 to the Illinois River. So that was the intent of
15 those graphs just to demonstrate the City is on
16 top of what they're supposed to be doing with
17 wastewater treatment to the Illinois River.

18 Q. Okay. And then a follow-up question to
19 that is, this graph is bimonthly through 2021.
20 And this says it's a day-to-day check but there's
21 definitely not enough on the chart --

22 Q. So the --

23 A. -- to say the day-to-day --

24 Q. So the City is required to collect, I

1 think it's four or five sample points per week.

2 THE WITNESS: Is that correct,
3 Melanie?

4 MELANIE: Three. Three days --

5 THE WITNESS: Three days.

6 MELANIE: -- per week.

7 THE WITNESS: So, yeah. So it's not
8 going to be 365 data points. There's going to be,
9 you know, 550 points or so data points.

10 MS. HICKS: Thank you.

11 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

12 MR. MCPHEDRAN: May I ask one more
13 question, Your Honor? I'm sorry. The --

14 HEARING OFFICER: Yes, we have a
15 question back there.

16 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have a question
17 about the graph. My name is Shawna Wilcox. I'm a
18 resident of LaSalle.

19 HEARING OFFICER: Could you state your
20 last name, please, and speak up? It's hard for
21 the -- me and the court reporter. Yeah, you can
22 come to the front. It might make it easier.
23 Thank you.

24 MS. WILCOX: Shawna, S-h-a-w-n-a,

1 Wilcox, W-i-l-c-o-x. Sorry.

2 CROSS EXAMINATION BY

3 MS. WILCOX:

4 Q. You just said that you extrapolated that
5 exhibit from three days per week of milligrams per
6 liter data. And you extrapolated that to a daily
7 pounds per day?

8 A. No, the data is collected every day. It
9 says --

10 Q. How many times per day is that data
11 collected, that milligrams per liter?

12 A. Well, it's collected every day on a daily
13 basis three days a week for concentration. Every
14 day they're measuring flow. So it's flow on that
15 day, concentration on that day, and the conversion
16 factor per pounds.

17 Q. And do you think that that milligram per
18 liter to pounds per day is accurate? Do you think
19 that those measures --

20 A. It's a standard engineering formula.

21 Q. Okay.

22 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr.
23 Grant?

24 MR. GRANT: Can I say for the record

1 that I think we're getting -- we're having a
2 little bit of a relevance problem. We're not
3 alleging a pounds per day violation, we're
4 alleging violations of the permit conditions as
5 far as milligrams per liter.

6 And there's been no dispute that those
7 were violated. So getting into some of these
8 off-the-road details in an attempt to defend what
9 we did do right has nothing to do with what we're
10 alleging in the Complaint or in the stipulation.

11 What's being resolved is the
12 stipulation. And I just want to make that
13 statement for the record so the Board has an
14 understanding what's going on here.

15 MR. MCPHEDRAN: And, Your Honor, the
16 City has no issue with what counsel is saying or
17 what the State did and how appropriately
18 aggressive they were in regard to their
19 investigation. We respected that all along.

20 Our city officials did, and we still
21 do. And we're not quarreling with that. I think
22 the reason the pounds per day was brought in,
23 that's a measure, another measure, of measuring
24 what effect the BOD and the TSS can have on the

1 environment, because there's pounds per day and
2 there's concentration. The concentration limits
3 were over but I think he's explained with the
4 testimony. But we're not quarreling at all with
5 what the State had said or what they did.

6 HEARING OFFICER: I agree. And I
7 think the record will note Mr. Grant and the
8 State's issues with relevancy. And, you know, I
9 allowed -- it's out now. I allowed a little
10 latitude, but just be leery about the relevancy in
11 the four corners of the document. Thank you.

12 Any other questions before we release
13 Mr. Boyer? Mr. McPhedran?

14 FURTHER REDIRECT BY

15 MR. MCPHEDRAN:

16 Q. Just one other question. On the data
17 that they were questioning on whether it was taken
18 contemporaneously, are the actual lab reports a
19 part of Exhibit Two, pages 33 through 73?

20 A. For those two data graphs?

21 Q. No, I'm not talking about that. I'm
22 talking about the BODs, which was the question
23 before. The biomonitoring.

24 A. The biomonitoring, yeah, they're included

1 in there.

2 Q. So with regard to addressing whether or
3 not the data was taken timely in regard to the
4 biomonitoring, the lab reports are there as a part
5 of Exhibit Two that indicates the date the data
6 was collected?

7 A. Correct.

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN: That's all I have,
9 Judge.

10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Thank
11 you. You may step down, Mr. Boyer.

12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

13 HEARING OFFICER: I appreciate it. I
14 suggest we move in -- continue in alphabetical
15 order. I think Brianne Hicks.

16 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, may I have
17 one other point in regard -- counsel, are we going
18 to be able to stipulate to the Exhibit Four in
19 regard to the agenda, Exhibit Five in regard to
20 the ordinance, and to redact the relevant portions
21 of the minutes and the --

22 MS. SAWYER: Maybe instead of
23 admitting these as exhibits we can read them into
24 the record, portions of them. They're not that

1 long. Is that possible, counsel?

2 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Sure.

3 MS. SAWYER: I mean, I think Exhibit
4 Five is the ordinance so that's entirely relevant.
5 Exhibit Four, I think there's other items in here
6 but there's a portion that's relevant. So to the
7 extent it's relevant we'll stipulate to that.

8 Mr. --

9 MR. GRANT: Yeah, I think we can
10 stipulate to the authenticity.

11 MS. SAYWER: Yeah.

12 MR. GRANT: We're not going to
13 question --

14 MS. SAWYER: These are --

15 HEARING OFFICER: Wait a minute.
16 We're still on -- speak one at a time and keep
17 your voice up. Thank you.

18 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I think we can work
19 that out with Four, Five, Six and Seven without
20 having to read all that stuff into the record.
21 Just have the relevant portions of the minutes
22 that are related to this put in, because I
23 understand the State's concern there are some
24 things that are discussed there that I agree are

1 not relevant. We only included it to show we're
2 not hiding something or keeping it out.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. What -- what
4 am I doing now?

5 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I think we'll work
6 that out later within -- Four and Five can go in
7 right now. Exhibits Six and Seven, the relevant
8 portions of the minutes and relevant portion of
9 the transcript will go in, but only the specific
10 parts that have mentioned relation to the
11 ordinance.

12 MS. SAWYER: And we stipulate as to
13 authenticity.

14 MR. MCPHEDRAN: And you stipulated to
15 authenticity. So I don't need to call somebody
16 here or have here on foundation.

17 MS. SAWYER: That's right.

18 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So I assume
19 there's no objection to Respondent's Exhibit
20 Number Four and Five, right? So admitted?

21 MS. SAYWER: So admitted with the
22 relevant portions. So Exhibit Five in its
23 entirety and Exhibit Four -- they're not numbered
24 pages -- but the second page, an ordinance

1 authorizing and approving stipulation proposal for
2 settlement with the Illinois EPA and the Attorney
3 General, that portion between the two bolded
4 sections. So everyone's --

5 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Agreed.

6 HEARING OFFICER: All right. I'll
7 have to take a look at the transcript to see what
8 you just agreed to and what Ms. Sawyer read as far
9 as relevancy. Exhibit Number Six, are we moving
10 this yet?

11 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Yes, Your Honor. And
12 Six, I think that the portion that we would agree
13 would be relevant would be -- let me find it here.

14 MS. SAYWER: So it's Exhibit Six, page
15 one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight,
16 discussion and potential action on the following
17 additional ordinances and resolutions, beginning
18 at that portion.

19 MR. MCPHEDRAN: That would be admitted
20 and I agree.

21 MS. SAWYER: And then ending with
22 motion carried. So again, movement to enter the
23 ordinance authorizing and approving the
24 stipulation, et cetera.

1 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Again, I'll
2 have to look at the transcript to see what you
3 just agreed to. And my minutes are not paginated
4 so I don't know if yours are.

5 MS. SAWYER: They're not.

6 HEARING OFFICER: All right.

7 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I think there would
8 also be a portion on the next page after the --

9 HEARING OFFICER: What I'm going to
10 ask you to do during your post-hearing briefing is
11 spell out exactly what you're agreeing to and what
12 you worked out.

13 MR. MCPHEDRAN: We can do that with
14 regard to Exhibit Six and Seven.

15 MS. SAWYER: Six and Seven.

16 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I hope we agreed on
17 that.

18 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

19 MR. MCPHEDRAN: We'll agree on
20 principle.

21 HEARING OFFICER: Exhibit Seven?

22 MR. MCPHEDRAN: The same.

23 MS. SAYWER: Yeah.

24 HEARING OFFICER: Admitted?

1 MS. SAYWER: Yes.

2 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Subject to --

3 MS. SAWYER: I think what we're trying
4 to do here is just make sure everyone is subject
5 to the same relevance, the public, the City, the
6 State.

7 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Agreed.

8 MS. SAWYER: We agree to also do the
9 same.

10 MR. MCPHEDRAN: And that's why we're
11 agreed to take some of that stuff out.

12 HEARING OFFICER: And I'm just trying
13 to make the record clear for the Board.

14 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I understand, Your
15 Honor.

16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I think
17 we're ready to start. As I stated, I think Ms.
18 Brianne Hicks, you want to stand up and give
19 public comment? If you can just stand up here or
20 sit up here next to the court reporter so we can
21 take it all in. Thank you.

22 RE-CROSS EXAMINATION BY

23 MS. HICKS:

24 Q. I'm Brianne Hicks. I have mainly

1 questions which I know you guys may not be able to
2 answer here today but I do want them just on the
3 record, some things that I was confused about that
4 maybe you guys can provide some clarification on.

5 So my first one was for June 2021, the
6 levels that were checked, because it gives you a
7 month and every day that it was checked. It said
8 that it was checked on June 7th, 2021 and then it
9 was not checked again until June 21st, 2021.

10 How do we get a weekly and monthly
11 average if this was only checked once between the
12 1st of June and the 21st of June?

13 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Can we allow that to
14 be answered to the degree right now?

15 MR. BOYER: So we're talking about
16 outfall 001, correct? So outfall 001 is checked
17 when there's a rain event and there is flow
18 through, excess flow, outfall 801 --

19 MS. HICKS: Okay.

20 MR. BOYER: -- for the permit. So you
21 won't have data on outfall 001 if there's no rain
22 event and no flow through the outfall 801.

23 BY MS. HICKS:

24 Q. Okay. So it's only recorded if there was

1 an event?

2 A. If there was an event it would be
3 recorded, that's right.

4 Q. Okay. And then in the stipulation it was
5 stated that the TSS monthly average was not
6 applicable for June. How is that possible if
7 there wasn't a monthly average?

8 MS. SAWYER: There wasn't an average.

9 MR. MCPHEDRAN: There wasn't -- there
10 wasn't a violation.

11 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We're going
12 to have to speak one at a time.

13 MS. SAWYER: There wasn't a violation
14 of TSS at that time, that's why you're not seeing
15 TSS. Is that what you're asking?

16 MS. HICKS: Yes.

17 MS. SAWYER: Why it says N/A?

18 MS. HICKS: Yes.

19 MS. SAWYER: Because there wasn't an
20 overage.

21 MS. HICKS: Okay.

22 MS. SAWYER: This chart that I created
23 is just noting overages, effluent violations.
24 Since there was no violation I had to put

1 something in that box.

2 MS. HICKS: Okay. Okay. Thank you
3 for clarifying.

4 MR. MCPHEDRAN: The City was compliant
5 in June.

6 MS. SAWYER: With that particular one.

7 MR. MCPHEDRAN: With that one.

8 MS. HICKS: Okay.

9 BY MS. HICKS:

10 Q. Are the reports for the NPDES permits
11 public knowledge? Like are we able to go back and
12 backtrack to 2015 to see the current?

13 MS. SAWYER: Are they public records,
14 is that what you're asking?

15 MS. HICKS: Yes. Yes.

16 MR. GRANT: I would -- I don't know
17 but I think they're probably subject to FOIA, the
18 Freedom of Information Act.

19 MS. SAWYER: We don't always get
20 those.

21 MS. HICKS: Okay.

22 MS. SAWYER: IEPA generates them, and
23 to the extent there's a violation we may get them.

24 MS. HICKS: Okay.

1 MS. SAWYER: But yes.

2 BY MS. HICKS:

3 Q. Was there any research or studies done
4 after the increased pollution in the water to see
5 how this impacted human or wildlife health?

6 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, there's
7 been no allegation of a lead issue.

8 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, that's not
9 relevant as to the stipulation.

10 MS. HICKS: Okay.

11 HEARING OFFICER: Feel free to follow
12 up during post-hearing briefing if you so choose,
13 counsel.

14 BY MS. HICKS:

15 Q. Did the City publicly notify anyone of
16 the pollution when it occurred in June and August?

17 MR. MCPHEDRAN: You want to take it as
18 part of the monthly discharge?

19 MR. BOYER: It would be recorded in
20 the DMR that gets submitted every month.

21 MR. MCPHEDRAN: It gets reported by
22 the -- yes, it was, I believe. That's how the
23 city was eventually cited for the violation is
24 they turn in monthly discharge reports to the EPA.

1 Those monthly discharge reports for those months,
2 as I understand it -- I'm not the one who did
3 them, but as I understand it -- they reported
4 voluntarily, compliant with the law, the overage
5 that occurred in June in regard to BOD, and in
6 August with regard to BOD and TSS.

7 And I think that's how the EPA then
8 came up with sending their notice in November that
9 year for the alleged violation because the City
10 had voluntarily reported it there.

11 BY MS. HICKS:

12 Q. But was like the public notified during
13 June or August of 2021 --

14 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I --

15 BY MS. HICKS:

16 Q. -- that there was a pollutant in the
17 river?

18 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I don't know if
19 there's any requirement that the public be
20 notified, but that's why we had Mr. Boyer testify.
21 Mr. Boyer, was there any adverse impact on the
22 river quality at that time by those two overages?

23 MR. BOYER: Well, keep in mind also
24 that the BOD test, to do it in a lab takes five

1 days to get the result back. You have to let that
2 sit for five days. So the turnaround on that
3 could be a good, you know, six to seven days
4 before there's a result. So you won't know on the
5 same day if a BOD had exceeded or not.

6 MS. HICKS: Okay.

7 MR. BOYER: Does that answer your
8 question?

9 MS. HICKS: Yes. Thank you.

10 BY MS. HICKS:

11 Q. How is the water mon -- the wastewater --
12 monitored now? Does the EPA remotely access the
13 results or is it submitted from the City
14 physically?

15 MS. SAWYER: So just really quick,
16 that I want to be very clear that the stipulation
17 isn't for a term that doesn't include right now.
18 That's not -- I'm not trying to shut you down.

19 MS. HICKS: No, go ahead.

20 MS. SAYWER: But just -- I mean, to be
21 clear, because some of this legal jargon can be
22 confusing, the stipulation is for a time period
23 that is not including right now. Like the
24 stipulation is through whatever dates are in

1 the --

2 MS. HICKS: Okay.

3 HEARING OFFICER: I agree.

4 MS. HICKS: Thank you for clarifying.

5 BY MS. HICKS:

6 Q. And then I guess my follow-up question
7 was just how do we know that this won't happen
8 again in the future?

9 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Does the City report
10 this on a timely fashion? Anything? We can't
11 guarantee if you get a torrential rain that
12 something couldn't happen. But anything that
13 happens will get reported in the monthly report.

14 MR. BOYER: Correct. That's in the
15 monthly report.

16 MS. HICKS: Thank you.

17 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
18 Hicks. All right. Ms. Dawn Hicks. Please.

19 MS. DAWN HICKS: Dawn Hicks. D-a-w-n
20 H-i-c-k-s.

21 HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed.

22 MS. DAWN HICKS: I wrote kind of a
23 little statement. I figured it would just be
24 easier that way. For nearly a year or more myself

1 and others have directly asked Mayor Grove at
2 multiple city meetings what was going on with our
3 water in our water treatment plant.

4 Every few weeks I would see social
5 media posts about -- asking about our water and
6 our water treatment plant -- talking with friends
7 and neighbors, and even having problems of our own
8 despite the brownish color and overly potent odor
9 of chlorine.

10 Mayor Grove would continuously tell us
11 our water in LaSalle was just fine. That doesn't
12 look fine (showing photo).

13 HEARING OFFICER: For the record, Ms.
14 Hicks is holding up a photo of a glass of brown
15 water.

16 MS. DAWN HICKS: A company called
17 Viserine (phonetic) has been repairing our water
18 treatment plant. A representative from Viserine
19 did make a statement at a city meeting earlier
20 this year. He claimed our water treatment plant
21 was in very poor condition for quite sometime.

22 Due to the mayor's lack of
23 transparency, I along with others, began looking
24 into what our water really contains. From January

1 2021 through March 2021 --

2 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Your Honor, may I just
3 indicate for the record this is regarding drinking
4 water.

5 MS. DAWN HICKS: Well, Mr. McPhedran,
6 you brought up the quality of our drinking water
7 when you talked to --

8 HEARING OFFICER: Wait, wait, wait,
9 please.

10 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I brought up --

11 HEARING OFFICER: One at a time.

12 MR. MCPHEDRAN: -- the quality of the
13 wastewater only. We're not talking -- it's only
14 wastewater discharge. It didn't have an adverse
15 effect on the water. It didn't go into the
16 drinking water at all, and it's not relevant to
17 the stipulation.

18 HEARING OFFICER: It's not relevant,
19 Ms. Hicks.

20 MS. DAWN HICKS: Even though --

21 HEARING OFFICER: It's a stipulation.
22 No, I don't think he opened the door. I think he
23 was talking about wastewater.

24 MS. DAWN HICKS: At 10:28 this morning

1 he asked the engineer about the quality of our
2 drinking water. Those were his exact words.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

4 MR. MCPHEDRAN: No, I don't believe we
5 did, Judge.

6 HEARING OFFICER: Well, the record
7 will reflect. I'll give you a little latitude.

8 (talking over one another)

9 MR. MCPHEDRAN: (Inaudible)

10 HEARING OFFICER: I'm talking. We
11 have -- we have to kind of, you know, keep a
12 decorum. I'll allow her some latitude. You may
13 proceed, Ms. Hicks.

14 MS. DAWN HICKS: Thank you. From
15 January 2021 to March 2021 the Illinois EPA
16 reported on EWG.org that the water had 17
17 contaminants detected. 12 exceeded health
18 guidelines. Arsenic was 363 times the health
19 guideline. Radium was 7.2 times. Nitrate 15
20 times. Chloroform 74 times.

21 There has been a lack of
22 accountability in LaSalle. We are respectfully
23 requesting that our mayor be honest and more
24 forthcoming about our water issues in the future.

1 It could quite possibly save lives.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
3 Hicks. I appreciate it.

4 MR. GRANT: Just a --

5 HEARING OFFICER: Sure.

6 MR. GRANT: To clarify, you're not
7 talking about exceedances of state and federal
8 regulations, correct?

9 MS. DAWN HICKS: I'm sorry, could you
10 repeat that?

11 MR. GRANT: To clarify, you're not
12 talking about exceedances of state and federal
13 regulations, correct?

14 MS. DAWN HICKS: What I'm speaking of
15 is the website EWG.org that apparently you can go
16 on and click on the town that you live in or any
17 other town you're interested in and find Illinois
18 EPA reports for water that the EPA tested in that
19 town and what their findings were.

20 And I'm just naming off a few. A lot
21 of them I could not pronounce if I tried.

22 MR. GRANT: Doesn't that website state
23 that the City of LaSalle was in compliance with
24 all state and federal regulations?

1 MS. DAWN HICKS: I do not know. It
2 was awhile ago that I had looked these results up
3 so I couldn't say for sure without going back onto
4 that page.

5 MR. GRANT: And aren't the standards
6 that are used in the EWG website standards that
7 are essentially made up by EWG?

8 MS. DAWN HICKS: It says on their page
9 that their information is from the Illinois EPA.

10 MS. SAWYER: So it states specifically
11 on the website that the Illinois EPA is the
12 standards that they're deploying? The Illinois
13 EPA standards?

14 MS. DAWN HICKS: Is the results, yeah,
15 from the EPA testing. LaSalle's water from
16 January 2021 to March of 2021.

17 MS. SAWYER: So are you saying that
18 the Illinois EPA testing results are used or the
19 Illinois EPA standards are used?

20 MS. DAWN HICKS: Their -- the way I
21 understood it -- was the testing of their results.
22 I don't know if it was the standards. The -- what
23 I understood of it was that the -- like the
24 arsenic being 363 times exceeded the health

1 guidelines is how I understood it. So I'm still
2 learning.

3 MS. SAWYER: It's a lot of data.

4 MS. DAWN HICKS: Yes.

5 MS. SAWYER: Do you -- do you know if
6 EWG.org, is that a government organization or is
7 that a private entity?

8 MS. DAWN HICKS: I'm not completely
9 sure.

10 MS. SAYWER: Okay.

11 MS. DAWN HICKS: I'm still learning.

12 MS. SAWYER: Okay. Sure. Thank you.

13 MS. DAWN HICKS: Thank you.

14 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. McPhedran, any
15 questions?

16 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Yes, Your Honor. And
17 I think that, from what I understand, the State,
18 is it correct, Mr. Grant, that based on the report
19 that the City has regularly sent in, to your
20 knowledge, the City's been -- while it's not
21 relevant being the City's -- the City's been in
22 compliance with the EPA regulations? They haven't
23 been exceeding?

24 MR. GRANT: The stipulation is limited

1 to violations from three years ago at a wastewater
2 treatment plant. I'm very reluctant, not having
3 any information right now, to give the City a free
4 pass on anything else that's happened since 2021,
5 particularly with drinking water, which is not the
6 subject matter of this case.

7 So as much as you'd like to get -- to
8 get an out of jail free card -- if I get back to
9 my office tomorrow and find out --

10 MR. MCPHEDRAN: We're not --

11 MR. GRANT: -- there were exceedances
12 of the drinking water standards, we take those
13 very seriously.

14 MR. MCPHEDRAN: The City takes them
15 very seriously too, Your Honor. And our results
16 are posted on our website. There's been no
17 foundation for what she's saying.

18 If the Pollution Control Board wants,
19 I have with me reports of drinking water because I
20 expected this to come up, because even though it
21 wasn't to be part of the stipulation I expected
22 the residents or the Pollution Control Board and
23 Your Honor wants to receive it.

24 We have our monthly monitoring

1 reports, that at least the representative of the
2 City told me, and I'm not an expert on this, to
3 our knowledge while many of these levels are high
4 there is no, from what I understand on that, and
5 everything is in compliance. But the reports
6 should speak for themselves.

7 If they want to raise this issue and
8 the Pollution Control Board wants to look at any
9 of those reports specifically, I don't want to
10 make it look like the City isn't being transparent
11 or hiding anything.

12 HEARING OFFICER: You have to speak
13 up.

14 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I want to make certain
15 that the record's clear that the City is willing
16 to submit those reports. We even have them with
17 us because I expected this to come up, even though
18 it's not within the four corners of the
19 stipulation, and we're not wanting to hide
20 anything or impair even the EPA or anybody else
21 that wants to look into it.

22 In fact, I think the EPA regularly
23 gets these reports.

24 HEARING OFFICER: I agree, it's not

1 part of the stipulation. Whether or not you
2 opened the door when you mentioned water quality,
3 I don't know. I don't want the court reporter to
4 go back an hour and find it. So the transcript
5 will reflect that.

6 You may submit your water quality
7 reports during your post-hearing briefing time,
8 which I have not set yet. And I think we can move
9 on.

10 MS. DAWN HICKS: Okay.

11 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.

12 Hicks.

13 MS. DAWN HICKS: Thank you.

14 HEARING OFFICER: Here we have -- is
15 there a Lindsay Jones? No. Karry King? No. Mr.
16 Schneider?

17 MR. SCHNEICER: Yeah, I'll go after
18 Jamie if you don't mind. Let Jamie go first.

19 HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry?

20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Could you let Jamie go
21 first, please?

22 HEARING OFFICER: Sure. Jamie wasn't
23 on my list but he did raise his hand earlier.
24 And, sir, it's got to be relevant to the four

1 corners --

2 MR. HICKS: Yes.

3 HEARING OFFICER: -- of the
4 stipulation.

5 MR. HICKS: Yes, sir. My name's
6 Jamie Hicks. J-a-m-i-e, H-i-c-k-s. There's a lot
7 of -- a lot of repairs going on in our treatment
8 plant.

9 Are these related to EPA violations or
10 were they part of a stipulation or a side
11 agreement to if they make these updates would, you
12 know, the fine be lowered or something like that?

13 Because not only at our treatment
14 plant, but also like Dawn had mentioned a lot on
15 our water treatment plant as well affecting our
16 quality of water. So, I mean, that is my only
17 question that I have for you guys, are them
18 repairs part of that?

19 MS. SAWYER: Can I just clarify?

20 HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

21 MS. SAWYER: So are you asking if
22 there was additional agreements that we're not
23 seeing in the stipulation that were related to the
24 stipulation that you might not have seen?

1 MR. HICKS: Right.

2 MS. SAYWER: To my knowledge, no.

3 MR. HICKS: I mean, like --

4 MS. SAWYER: Because you mentioned a
5 side agreement. There wasn't like --

6 MR. HICKS: Not -- I don't know about
7 the side. I don't know how it all works. But
8 like, you know, how, you know, you negotiate and
9 you say okay, I'm going to make these repairs --

10 MS. SAYWER: This is it. The
11 stipulation is it.

12 MR. HICKS: So all the repairs that's
13 going on at the treatment plant has nothing to do
14 with you guys?

15 MS. SAWYER: What you just said is the
16 first time I've heard about that. We're not
17 involved in the City of LaSalle's --

18 MR. HICKS: Okay. But I do know it's
19 got everything to do with monitoring equipment and
20 things like that, so --

21 MR. GRANT: Just if I may. I'm sorry.

22 MS. SAYWER: No, go ahead.

23 MR. GRANT: Just so you understand the
24 process, we're the prosecuting agency so we get

1 referrals from Illinois EPA that say here's proof
2 of violation, we want you to do something about
3 it. Prior to us even seeing this, after they
4 issue a violation notice there's always a lot of
5 ongoing discussions between the regulated entity
6 and Illinois EPA, at which point we would expect
7 them to say we're going to make improvements.
8 We're spending all this money, here's our budget.

9 You know, we're a municipality. All
10 that discussion would have had -- would have come
11 -- before we got the referral. So I think to the
12 extent that that discussion, future plans, capital
13 expenditures, that probably would have happened
14 before the Attorney General got the case.

15 When we get the case they, the
16 Illinois EPA, has already made a penalty
17 recommendation. And for the record, this is not a
18 significant penalty for an NPDES violation.

19 I've got a case right now, NPDES,
20 where we're going to get a \$60,000 penalty, for
21 example. It's a private entity, it's not a
22 municipality. So where we are on penalty, I think
23 to answer your question, no, because we've already
24 got an agreement that --

1 MR. HICKS: That's your guys'
2 agreement?

3 MR. GRANT: Yeah. And the City of
4 LaSalle has agreed to. And so there's really no
5 question about what the settlement terms are at
6 this point.

7 MR. HICKS: Okay.

8 MR. GRANT: Okay?

9 MR. HICKS: Okay.

10 MR. GRANT: It's not like we ignore --

11 MR. HICKS: No, you answered my
12 question.

13 MS. SAWYER: And also just really
14 quick to add on to what Mr. Grant said. The
15 Illinois EPA does a lot of things that we don't
16 know about and -- because they're the regulating
17 entity.

18 MR. HICKS: Right.

19 MS. SAWYER: Once it comes to us, and
20 sometimes there's processes it just triggers a
21 violation because of all kinds of factors. They
22 consider a lot of stuff. So there may be other
23 things happening I'm not aware of.

24 MR. HICKS: Correct.

1 MS. SAYWER: Which is why I said I --
2 this is the first time I heard this, because it's
3 true.

4 MR. HICKS: Okay.

5 MS. SAWYER: Yeah.

6 MR. HICKS: No, I appreciate it.

7 MS. SAWYER: Yeah.

8 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I just want to be
9 clear. Just so that, again, at least nothing else
10 was part of your discussions with the City other
11 than what's in the four corners of the document,
12 and there's been nothing else referred by the
13 agency indicating any other concern regarding
14 drinking water or that was brought up only -- only
15 from bringing that up for a limited discussion.

16 And we aren't looking for a get out of
17 jail free card because we don't -- we didn't do
18 any -- we maintain we didn't do anything wrong.
19 My -- just to be clear -- that there hasn't been
20 any other side agreements or any other independent
21 agreements, no other referrals to your office,
22 correct, to your knowledge?

23 MR. GRANT: I can't confirm.

24 MS. SAWYER: We're talking about this

1 particular document. Not -- we're not talking
2 about that. That's outside of the scope.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you --

4 MR. HICKS: Okay. Thank you.

5 HEARING OFFICER: -- Mr. Hicks, I
6 appreciate it. Mr. Schneider?

7 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm okay.

8 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Would anybody
9 else like to step up and give public comment
10 today? All right. It's approximately 11:26 a.m.
11 We're going to go off the record for a minute and
12 discuss the transcript due date, post comments,
13 and any kind of post-hearing briefs.

14 We're off the record. Thank you.

15 (Discussion off the record)

16 HEARING OFFICER: We're back on the
17 record. It's approximately 11:30 now. We've
18 figured out the transcript will be due on March
19 14th. And when I say due they'll submit it to the
20 Pollution Control Board.

21 Our clerk will put it on our site and
22 then you can download it from there or take a look
23 at it on our site March 14th. Public comment due
24 April 4th and then the parties' briefs,

1 post-hearing briefs, due April 25th. Am I missing
2 anything for now? I do want to thank the City for
3 the use of the courtroom, Mr. McPhedran.

4 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Well, it's not me,
5 it's the County.

6 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.

7 MR. MCPHEDRAN: I just helped
8 facilitate it to try to cooperate with everybody
9 to get this done in a forum that would be -- work
10 the best.

11 i thought it's a setting -- this is an
12 important matter to everybody -- and I think doing
13 it in a courthouse in a courtroom adds the dignity
14 to it that it deserves and the public have this
15 information as well.

16 Let me also indicate just one other
17 thing on these water reports. I have asked for
18 copies if any member of the public wants them. I
19 brought them because I knew that this is a very
20 big concern, and we're sensitive to that.

21 So I'll give whatever I have today to
22 anyone here that wants it.

23 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
24 you, sir. And thank you --

1 MR. MCPHEDRAN: Even though it's not
2 part of the hearing. I'm not waiving my objection
3 but it's -- we have nothing to hide.

4 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you all for
5 your civility. We're off the record.

6

7 (Hearing end time: 11:30 a.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

I, Kathy L. Johnson, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Illinois, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony of all witnesses in the foregoing hearing were duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony of said witnesses was taken by stenographic means by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or financially interested in this action.

-----*Kathy Johnson*-----

Kathy Johnson
Certified Shorthand Reporter



Magna

Key Contacts



Schedule a Deposition:
Scheduling@MagnaLS.com | 866-624-6221

Order a Transcript:
CustomerService@MagnaLS.com | 866-624-6221

General Billing Inquiries:
ARTeam@MagnaLS.com | 866-624-6221

Scheduling Operations Manager:
Patricia Gondor (E: PGondor@MagnaLS.com | C: 215-221-9566)

Customer Care:
Cari Hartley (E: CHartley@MagnaLS.com | C: 843-814-0841)

Director of Production Services:
Ron Hickman (E: RHickman@MagnaLS.com | C: 215-982-0810)

National Director of Discovery Support Services:
Carmella Mazza (E: CMazza@MagnaLS.com | C: 856-495-1920)

Billing Manager:
Maria Capetola (E: MCapetola@MagnaLS.com | C: 215-292-9603)

Director of Sales Operations:
Kristina Moukina (E: KMoukina@MagnaLS.com | C: 215-796-5028)

A			
ability	address	24:9 68:11	53:3,17
94:10	36:1	agreement	anybody
able	addressed	86:11 87:5 88:24	7:1 45:14,21 84:20
64:18 70:1 72:11	8:8	89:2	91:8
accepted	addresses	agreements	anybody's
11:17 50:19	8:10 9:17,20 10:3	86:22 90:20,21	7:11
access	addressing	agrees	anyway
59:11 75:12	34:18 35:3 64:2	18:4	25:19
accomplish	adds	ahead	apparently
21:19	92:13	20:7 75:19 87:22	80:15
accomplishing	ADMINISTRATI...	allegation	Appeared
34:9 36:17	5:2	18:2 31:21,24 37:15	3:12,18,23 4:7
accountability	admission	41:1 57:2 73:7	applicable
79:22	44:9,17	allegations	71:6
accurate	admit	14:10 25:5 26:11,20	apply
61:18	26:20	26:24 43:24	1:15
achieved	admitted	allege	appreciate
46:16	44:14 45:1 66:20,21	9:21	14:9 64:13 80:3 90:6
acknowledge	67:19 68:24	alleged	91:6
17:14 57:13	admitting	9:21 14:10 16:17	approach
acknowledged	64:23	18:19 23:3,5 27:7	23:24
16:15 18:12 30:23	adverse	32:19 33:6 51:17	appropriately
32:15	31:16 74:21 78:14	74:9	16:15 62:17
Act	adversely	alleging	approved
10:8,9,13,15,24	30:11	62:3,4,10	19:3 33:21
11:11 12:3 32:20	agencies	allow	approving
72:18	52:10	70:13 79:12	67:1,23
action	agency	allowed	approximately
35:13 67:16 94:14,18	9:16 40:5,7,7,9 49:4	46:9 63:9,9	91:10,17
activities	87:24 90:13	allows	April
10:2 36:11,16 50:8	agenda	59:3	91:24 92:1
acts	64:19	alphabetical	aquatic
16:14	aggressive	64:14	8:24
actual	62:18	amnesia	area
49:1 51:1 63:18	ago	53:13	19:24 37:5,6
Act's	34:21 36:2 81:2 83:1	amount	arose
10:19 11:8	agree	9:22 10:10	31:5
add	14:8 18:20 57:21	analysis	arsenic
89:14	63:6 65:24 67:12,20	11:6	79:18 81:24
additional	68:19 69:8 76:3	answer	aside
19:4 67:17 86:22	84:24	45:19 47:21 48:1,9	35:18 39:8
additionally	agreed	53:1,19 70:2 75:7	asked
16:24 17:10 33:3	10:11 48:16 56:22	88:23	9:9 23:10 46:17 48:2
37:5	67:5,8 68:3,16 69:7	answered	52:23 53:14,15 77:1
	69:11 89:4	70:14 89:11	79:1 92:17
	agreeing	answers	asking

55:16,18 57:5 71:15 72:14 77:5 86:21	25:3	77:23	bolded
aspect	authorizing	beginning	67:3
27:1	67:1,23	67:17	bottom
aspects	average	behalf	27:4 32:16
10:13	28:20 29:1 59:9	3:12,18,23 4:7	box
assessing	70:11 71:5,7,8	believe	72:1
34:13	aware	14:7 15:2 22:11 23:8	boxes
assessment	5:21 89:23	24:21 39:2 44:19	59:5
34:4	awhile	46:14 56:9,10 73:22	Boyer
assigned	81:2	79:4	2:4 17:18 20:5,9,14
5:5	a.m	believes	20:20,22 24:4 45:13
assist	1:19 5:1 91:10 93:7	10:12	45:17,22 57:5 63:13
23:13	<hr/> B <hr/>	best	64:11 70:15,20
Assistant	B	92:10 94:9	73:19 74:20,21,23
6:10	2:15	better	75:7 76:14
assisting	Bachelors	11:21 38:7 53:22	BRAD
23:6,16	21:10	big	3:3
assume	back	92:20	Bradley
6:24 58:13 66:18	11:14 21:16 22:12	bimonthly	5:3
assure	23:5,17 39:1,21	59:19	Brad.Halloran@ill...
38:18	42:1,24 43:10,23	biological	3:6
attempt	46:15 47:8 49:18	31:8	Brianne
62:8	52:13 60:15 72:11	biomonitoring	2:10,13 12:14 19:14
attention	75:1 81:3 83:8 85:4	8:22 17:11 38:2,4	54:8,11 64:15 69:18
6:18	91:16	39:15 40:3 49:2	69:24
attorney	background	63:23,24 64:4	brief
3:8,14 5:18 6:11 13:4	21:6 22:8	bit	21:6
14:3,7 15:2,10 16:4	backtrack	12:23 31:3 53:22	briefing
18:13 30:3 45:18	72:12	62:2	45:19 68:10 73:12
51:10,13 53:15,23	bad	blame	85:7
67:2 88:14 94:13,16	20:6	47:2	briefly
Attorney's	based	board	7:24 9:23 17:19
38:3 51:9	11:6 48:9 49:5 82:18	1:1,12,15 3:3 5:5	briefs
audience	basic	10:16 11:17,24 13:8	91:13,24 92:1
13:13,14 19:11,21	28:8	13:13,21,24 15:23	bring
45:14,21 46:1 60:16	basically	16:8,9 18:24 19:3	23:19
August	47:2,6 53:15 55:11	27:10 32:20 62:13	bringing
8:3,4 27:8 28:14,24	55:15	69:13 83:18,22 84:8	90:15
29:22 30:7 73:16	basis	91:20	brought
74:6,13	35:22 40:20 42:1,5	BOD	23:6 62:22 78:6,10
authenticity	42:14 56:24 58:10	28:9,18,21,24 29:16	90:14 92:19
65:10 66:13,15	59:10 61:13	29:19 31:1,7 59:7	brown
authored	becoming	62:24 74:5,6,24	77:14
24:22,24	31:4	75:5	brownish
authoring	began	BODs	77:8
		16:18 63:22	budget

88:8	case-in-chief	3:9 6:12	clarification
built	14:5	cited	70:4
34:20	catching	73:23	clarify
Bumgarner	42:5	cities	80:6,11 86:19
35:11	cease	35:2	clarifying
Bumgartner	10:7	citizens	72:3 76:4
47:14 48:11	certain	5:11 6:15 16:6 18:16	clear
burdensome	8:12 9:9 14:6,21 16:1	47:10	50:23 69:13 75:16,21
34:1	16:9 17:4,8,10	city	84:15 90:9,19
Buren	18:18 23:16 25:17	1:7 5:7,17 7:23 10:7	clerk
3:4	32:9 41:13 59:3	10:10,24 11:3 12:19	91:21
B-r-i-a-n-n-e	84:14	14:1,5,17 17:3,5,12	click
54:9	certainly	18:4,17,20 22:10,13	80:16
	16:7	22:14,15,17 23:9,16	colleague
	certainty	26:17 30:4,8 32:5	12:11
	25:10 30:10	32:18,23 33:4,11,14	collect
C	CERTIFICATE	33:23 34:2,5,8 35:6	33:13 59:24
3:1 4:1	2:22 94:1	35:12,14,21 36:16	collected
California	Certified	36:19 37:9,22 39:1	17:11,16 40:16,19
21:13	94:3,21	39:20 40:12 41:12	50:24 51:2 57:1
call	certify	41:19,20 42:9,17,17	61:8,11,12 64:6
14:5 20:5,8 66:15	94:5,12	43:22 44:5 46:8	collecting
called	cetera	47:3,20 48:1,12,18	39:20
1:13 23:12 31:7	67:24	49:7,21 50:13,22	collection
77:16	cgrant@atg.state.il...	51:12,22 55:7,21	33:13
capability	3:11	59:15,24 62:16,20	color
34:4	chart	69:5 72:4 73:15,23	77:8
capacity	58:4,12,24 59:6,21	74:9 75:13 76:9	combined
6:10 28:16	71:22	77:2,19 80:23 82:19	8:18 33:11,18 46:8
capital	charts	83:3,14 84:2,10,15	come
88:12	59:2	87:17 89:3 90:10	6:5 60:22 83:20
Cara	check	92:2	84:17 88:10
3:15 6:9	59:20	City's	comes
cara.sawyer@ilag....	checked	13:12 16:14 18:10	53:4 89:19
3:17	70:6,7,8,9,11,16	22:17 23:21,24 24:8	coming
card	Chemical	42:19 44:10,19 52:9	11:14 12:18 33:5
83:8 90:17	32:10	59:13 82:20,21,21	43:15,23 44:6 49:7
careers	Chicago	civil	comment
13:5	3:5,10,16	10:11,17 11:7 21:10	5:21 6:19 16:7 19:13
carried	chlorine	21:12	57:7 58:2 69:19
67:22	77:9	civility	91:9,23
Carus	Chloroform	93:5	commentors
14:21 32:10	79:20	claimed	5:23
case	choose	77:20	comments
5:5 6:14 11:18 13:11	73:12	claims	12:19 15:18 91:12
14:10,15 15:4 83:6	Christopher	26:19	common
88:14,15,19			

9:14 12:2 communities 21:18 company 32:11 77:16 Complainant 1:5 3:12,18 5:7,15 complaint 6:22 7:18,20 8:8 9:8 9:10,18,22 11:2 32:1,2 33:1 37:16 40:10 43:3 62:10 completed 9:8 34:12 49:20,21 50:1 completely 82:8 compliance 10:2,6,22 11:10,15 16:14 18:5,7 23:7 23:17 32:24 33:5 34:17 43:19,23 44:6 44:20 50:19 80:23 82:22 84:5 compliant 55:21 56:4 72:4 74:4 complied 32:19 complying 51:16 components 34:11 36:15 compound 54:22 compromising 26:18 concentration 16:18 28:20 29:1 58:19 61:13,15 63:2 63:2 concentrations 29:14,15 concern 14:20 40:15 65:23 90:13 92:20 concerned	14:16 concerns 6:16 concur 15:10 24:12 condition 77:21 conditions 10:16,18,19 62:4 confident 48:17 49:5 confirm 90:23 confirmed 18:1 confused 47:17 70:3 confusing 75:22 connection 22:7 consider 89:22 consideration 10:21 considered 10:23 considering 11:22 12:1 18:21,24 considers 10:17 consists 36:11 consultant 22:9 consulting 21:17 33:15 contained 40:12 contains 24:21 77:24 contaminants 79:17 contemporaneous 40:21 contemporaneously	48:20,23 63:18 continue 64:14 continued 36:2 continuing 35:22 continuously 77:10 contradicts 54:18 control 1:1,12,14 3:3 5:5 8:19 13:8,13,21,23 15:23 16:9 17:5,8 18:24 19:3 27:10 30:8 33:8,10,16,24 34:11,17 35:10 36:10 37:2,21 46:13 46:17 48:21 83:18 83:22 84:8 91:20 conversation 49:20 conversion 58:21 61:15 convert 58:15 convoluted 51:21 cooperate 51:12,23 92:8 copies 6:23 7:1 16:13 25:21 92:18 copy 23:19,22 corners 8:14 9:18 15:15 32:7 63:11 84:18 86:1 90:11 corporation 1:8 correct 15:1 23:1 24:20,23 25:2 26:8,14,21,22 27:19 29:10,23	30:21,22 31:18,22 31:23 32:17,22 33:2 33:3 34:22,23,24 35:4,17 36:4,10 37:3,8,24 38:23,24 39:6,24 40:1 41:2,3 41:6 42:8,11 43:2,6 43:11,12,16,17,18 43:20 44:3,6,7 49:16 50:6,20 51:3 51:7,8 52:6,9 56:20 58:14,21 60:2 64:7 70:16 76:14 80:8,13 82:18 89:24 90:22 correcting 37:1 cost-effective 50:14 cost-efficient 34:6 counsel 12:10 13:3 25:18 57:6 62:16 64:17 65:1 73:13 94:13,17 counsels 2:20 15:17 count 7:18,20 8:10 counts 8:8 31:21 County 1:17,19 19:1 21:14 92:5 court 45:23 52:15,18 54:6 55:14 60:21 69:20 85:3 94:3 courthouse 1:20 92:13 courtroom 92:3,13 courtrooms 12:22 court's 15:23 covered
---	--	--	--

27:23 Covid 17:4 35:16 co-counsel 6:12 created 58:6 71:22 criteria 40:23 criticism 32:4 CROSS 46:4,22 52:21 54:10 61:2 CSO 33:18 36:12,23 46:8 current 8:5 42:16 46:7 72:12 currently 35:2 CX 2:6,7,9,10,11 C.S.R 1:16	12:13 19:14 76:18,19 76:19,22 77:16 78:5 78:20,24 79:14 80:9 80:14 81:1,8,14,20 82:4,8,11,13 85:10 85:13 86:14 day 18:9 19:10 29:19,19 29:19,20 30:1,1,4,4 58:13,20 59:3,7,8 61:7,8,10,12,14,15 61:15,18 62:3,22 63:1 70:7 75:5 days 60:4,5 61:5,13 75:1,2 75:3 day-by-day 59:10 day-to-day 58:10 59:20,23 dealing 11:22 deciliter 58:16 decorum 79:12 deemed 9:8 defend 62:8 defer 7:7 definite 46:9 definitely 59:21 degree 22:4 25:10 27:1 30:10 70:14 demand 31:8 demonstrate 58:9 59:2,15 deploying 81:12 deposition	94:15 deserves 92:14 design 21:15 desist 10:7 despite 77:8 details 9:23 62:8 detected 79:17 deter 11:9 determination 10:14 determined 11:7 determining 10:17 deterrent 10:13 develop 33:15 developed 33:20 different 11:18 12:20 21:17 36:24 53:16 dignity 92:13 diligence 10:21 41:21 diligent 43:23 DIRECT 20:18 direction 94:11 directly 77:1 Director 47:16 disagree 57:15	discharge 8:2 9:2 14:11 17:23 26:7 30:12 32:10 41:9,10,23 42:13 49:24 50:9 59:7 73:18,24 74:1 78:14 discharges 8:3 14:13 15:7 46:8 discuss 6:14 7:14 47:19 91:12 discussed 8:15 65:24 discussion 22:11 32:9 67:16 88:10,12 90:15 91:15 discussions 88:5 90:10 dispute 62:6 disputed 26:19 Districts 21:14 DMR 42:20 73:20 DMVs 43:1 docket 5:8 document 7:16 8:14 15:19 24:16,21 25:3 63:11 90:11 91:1 documented 46:15 48:24 49:11 documents 9:14 41:20 doing 17:6 21:15 36:16,19 36:19 41:20 59:16 66:4 92:12 dollars 11:22 17:7 34:2 37:11
D			
D 2:1 daily 29:23 30:1 61:6,12 data 17:11,16 28:8 39:16 39:20,23 40:16,18 41:12 42:20 49:12 50:24 51:1,1,5 52:8 53:4,6 56:14,24 60:8,9 61:6,8,10 63:16,20 64:3,5 70:21 82:3 date 10:2 64:5 91:12 dated 49:11 dates 48:12 49:9 75:24 Dawn			

door 78:22 85:2	41:13 42:6,18 71:23	environmental 9:15 10:9 21:12	exactly 68:11
double-checked 49:19	efforts 46:18 48:21 49:1	EPA 10:12 23:10 32:20 33:21 35:22 38:19 40:23 42:5,10,14 43:1,3 46:16 51:4,8 51:14,23 54:14 55:5 55:12,24 56:4 57:2 67:2 73:24 74:7 75:12 79:15 80:18 80:18 81:9,11,13,15 81:18,19 82:22 84:20,22 86:9 88:1 88:6,16 89:15	examination 1:13 20:18 46:4,22 48:7 52:21 54:10 61:2 69:22
download 91:22	eight 10:4 67:15		examined 20:16
draw 6:18	either 13:4 15:8 32:1 37:15		example 88:21
drinking 15:4,5 22:4 32:3,4 78:3,6,16 79:2 83:5 83:12,19 90:14	email 6:23		exceedances 80:7,12 83:11
Drive 3:21 4:5	employed 94:14,17		exceeded 8:5 28:16,22 75:5 79:17 81:24
due 8:17,20 9:1,4 28:9 29:11 30:7,16 41:20 77:22 91:12,18,19 91:23 92:1	employee 94:16		exceeding 82:23
duly 20:16 94:6	encourage 11:10	EPA's 37:16 51:17	excess 70:18
DX 2:5	enforcement 1:6 5:9	equipment 87:19	Excuse 10:8
D-a-w-n 76:19	engineer 17:18 20:15 21:1,4 21:21 22:9 25:11 33:23 79:1	essentially 81:7	exhibit 2:16,17,17,18,18,19 2:19 16:13,14 17:17 23:21,24 24:5,7,15 25:16 27:12,17 28:6 29:7 31:19 36:8 40:13 44:2,10,14,17 45:1 49:11 58:8 61:5 63:19 64:5,18 64:19 65:3,5 66:19 66:22,23 67:9,14 68:14,21
	engineering 21:11,12 61:20	et 67:24	
	engineers 34:8	event 19:17 28:10,11 70:17 70:22 71:1,2	
E	enter 67:22	events 28:16 30:6,7,11	
E 2:1,15 3:1,1,4 4:1,1	entered 13:22	eventually 23:13 44:6 57:1 73:23	
earlier 77:19 85:23	entering 26:19	everybody 92:8,12	
easier 60:22 76:24	entire 29:14 59:12	everyone's 67:4	
easily 25:19	entirely 65:4	evidence 17:14 19:5 44:9,17 48:14	exhibits 7:5 64:23 66:7
economically 34:5	entirety 66:23	evident 17:17	expect 88:6
education 21:7	entities 11:23	EWG 81:6,7	expected 83:20,21 84:17
effect 31:16 42:10 56:12 62:24 78:15	entitled 5:6	EWG.org 79:16 80:15 82:6	expenditures 88:13
effluent 11:5 16:16 22:22 27:8,8,22 31:10,10 31:20 38:5,8,8,19	entity 82:7 88:5,21 89:17	exact 79:2	expensive 50:13
	environment 11:21 18:15 30:12 31:17 54:17 63:1		expert 84:2
			expiration

38:13	feature	85:18,21 87:16 90:2	92:9
explain	50:6	five	forums
13:19 16:20 17:20	February	8:21 10:20 27:3	12:21
27:9 28:4 57:18	1:18 11:1 19:9 41:5	32:16 40:13 44:1	forward
explained	43:10	60:1 64:19 65:4,19	34:6
63:3	federal	66:6,20,22 67:15	found
expressed	80:7,12,24	74:24 75:2	28:7
18:14	feel	flood	foundation
extend	13:12 15:18 73:11	29:11	66:16 83:17
34:4	felt	flow	four
extensive	25:4	28:12 58:20 61:14,14	8:14,24 9:18 15:15
17:5	figured	70:17,18,22	26:4 32:7,16 38:15
extent	48:3 76:23 91:18	FLOWERS	38:17 46:11 60:1
65:7 72:23 88:12	file	3:20 4:4	63:11 64:18 65:5,19
extrapolated	9:14	focus	66:6,20,23 67:15
61:4,6	filed	22:2	84:18 85:24 90:11
eyes	5:10,12 7:15,18 10:3	FOIA	frame
7:16	11:2,16,16 15:15	72:17	29:2 34:4 35:13
	23:3,4 40:11 42:4	folks	frames
F	filing	19:11 31:3	40:3
F	11:3	follow	FRD
26:6	filled	13:7 40:5 73:11	2:12
facilitate	47:10,12	followed	free
92:8	financial	40:2	73:11 83:3,8 90:17
fact	34:3	following	Freedom
13:3 15:14 24:18	financially	15:22,24 23:14 58:9	72:18
50:2,3 84:22	94:18	67:16	frequency
factor	find	follows	41:13
8:7 58:22 61:16	9:16 12:24 25:20	8:18 20:17	friends
factors	39:3 54:13 56:13	follow-up	77:6
11:7 18:21 89:21	67:13 80:17 83:9	59:18 76:6	front
failure	85:4	foregoing	60:22
8:11	finding	94:6	full
fair	12:24	form	18:4 32:23 50:19
9:16 10:12 19:2 31:5	findings	53:18 56:9	fully
fall	80:19	formally	9:6,11,17 51:12,22
16:22 23:5	fine	26:20	further
familiar	5:24 27:14 45:16	forms	10:24 11:3 16:20
22:16,22 24:16 25:18	77:11,12 86:12	47:4,9,11	43:9 45:3 46:18
far	firm	formula	63:14 94:12,15
12:22,23 14:15 15:4	33:15	58:17 61:20	furtherance
27:22 34:1 35:5	firms	forth	19:5 36:2 37:21
37:10 39:19 50:13	21:17	10:16	future
62:5 67:8	first	forthcoming	10:5,7 11:9,10 76:8
fashion	5:15 8:1,8 20:3,5,16	79:24	79:24 88:12
5:12 39:16 76:10	26:3 44:11 70:5	forum	

G		H	
gallons	13:7 15:18 16:12	H	56:17 57:3,11,21
58:20	19:12,18 23:11	2:15	58:1,5 60:14,19
gathering	25:16 28:9 29:15,24	Halloran	61:22 63:6 64:10,13
55:9	30:5 59:13 60:8,8	3:3 5:3 6:8,17	65:15 66:3,18 67:6
general	62:14 64:17 65:12	hand	68:1,6,9,18,21,24
3:8,14 6:11 14:3,8	68:9 71:11 77:2	52:13 59:11 85:23	69:12,16 71:11 73:8
15:3 27:11 51:10	81:3 86:7 87:9,13	happen	73:11 76:3,17,21
67:3 88:14	88:7,20 91:11	76:7,12	77:13 78:8,11,18,21
General's	good	happened	79:3,6,10 80:2,5
13:4 15:10 16:4	5:2 19:4 75:3	16:21,22 29:13 35:1	82:14 84:12,24
18:13 30:3 51:13	gotten	35:7 49:6 83:4	85:11,14,19,22 86:3
generates	48:20	88:13	86:20 91:3,5,8,16
72:22	government	happening	92:6,23 93:2,4,7
gentlemen	11:23 82:6	28:10 29:12 89:23	94:6
27:10	graduated	happens	hearings
getting	21:9	76:13	1:16 13:2
34:10 62:1,7	Grant	hard	heavy
give	3:9 6:12 12:11 15:12	60:20	29:11
5:16,20 6:7 19:13	45:7 57:16,21 61:23	harmful	Hegeler
21:6 34:5 58:17,23	61:24 63:7 65:9,12	38:20	37:5
69:18 79:7 83:3	72:16 80:4,6,11,22	Harpe	help
91:9 92:21	81:5 82:18,24 83:11	37:6	23:10
given	87:21,23 89:3,8,10	hat	helped
25:21	89:14 90:23	52:14	92:7
gives	graph	health	helping
58:22 70:6	29:17,18 58:9 59:11	54:16 73:5 79:17,18	21:18
giving	59:19 60:17	81:24	Henry
55:21	graphs	hear	1:17
glass	29:18,24 59:15 63:20	14:2,4	hereto
77:14	great	heard	94:17
go	14:16	87:16 90:2	Herrmann
9:23 12:4 20:7 27:3	Grove	hearing	4:4 12:11
28:19 39:21 47:8	77:1,10	1:13 2:20 3:4 5:1,4	Hicks
66:6,9 72:11 75:19	guarantee	5:12 6:4,6,9 7:8	2:7,10,13 12:13,14
78:15 80:15 85:4,17	76:11	9:13 11:13 12:6,10	19:14,14 46:23,24
85:18,20 87:22	guess	12:16,17 13:9,21,23	48:1 54:4,8,9,11
91:11	48:11 76:6	14:9 15:13,23 16:2	55:2,3,11,15,16
goal	guideline	16:8,8 18:9 19:7,22	56:16,18 57:4,7,22
46:13,14	79:19	20:2,6,10 24:2	57:23 58:3,6,7
goals	guidelines	25:17 44:13,21,24	60:10 64:15 69:18
21:20 36:17	79:18 82:1	45:5,8,11,17 46:2	69:23,24 70:19,23
goes	guy	46:21 47:23 48:4	71:16,18,21 72:2,8
14:21 31:14 32:10	47:3	52:13,17 53:7,10,20	72:9,15,21,24 73:2
going	guys	54:2,5,21,24 55:13	73:10,14 74:11,15
	70:1,4 86:17 87:14		75:6,9,10,19 76:2,4
	89:1		76:5,16,18,18,19,19

76:22 77:14,16 78:5 78:19,20,24 79:13 79:14 80:3,9,14 81:1,8,14,20 82:4,8 82:11,13 85:10,12 85:13 86:2,5,6 87:1 87:3,6,12,18 89:1,7 89:9,11,18,24 90:4 90:6 91:4,5	54:16 73:5 hundreds 17:6 37:10 H-i-c-k-s 47:1 54:9 55:17 76:20 86:6	importantly 11:24 39:15 improvements 34:7 88:7 Inaudible 79:9 include 10:21 12:13 36:5 75:17 included 7:5 31:19 36:6 63:24 66:1 includes 9:20,22 10:1 14:6 42:20 43:20 including 75:23 increased 73:4 independent 90:20 indicate 15:1,3 78:3 92:16 indicated 12:12 16:3 18:6,11 26:16,23 36:7 indicates 32:17 64:5 indicating 43:10 90:13 indication 26:5 37:19 inform 13:17 information 13:18 29:6 42:23 43:4 55:9,22 72:18 81:9 83:3 92:15 information-gathe... 54:14 55:5 info-gathering 55:24 intent 30:2 59:14 interest 7:11 13:24 14:17	18:14 interested 80:17 94:18 interests 16:6 interrupt 7:4 introduced 38:6 investigate 51:5 investigation 13:1 23:14 25:5 40:20 48:10,18 49:6 51:15,17,24 62:19 involved 13:5 18:8 22:3 26:6 27:11 35:16 47:20 87:17 IPCB 1:15 issue 28:8 39:19 40:7,9 42:6 48:16 56:6,10 57:1 62:16 73:7 84:7 88:4 issues 7:17 9:17 16:16 18:7 29:3 32:24 35:5 63:8 79:24 item 35:13 items 65:5 i-e-r-i 46:7
hide 84:19 93:3 hiding 52:8 66:2 84:11 high 16:21,22 28:12 29:11 30:7,16,18 84:3 hinder 56:12 hindered 54:15 55:6 56:1,2 57:8,9 hindrance 55:8 57:18 hired 22:13 33:15 historical 29:3 holding 77:14 honest 79:23 Honor 6:2 12:8,10 14:24 19:6 20:4 24:1 44:8 45:2,10 48:5 55:10 56:8 60:13 62:15 64:16 67:11 69:15 73:6 78:2 82:16 83:15,23 hope 68:16 hour 1:19 85:4 Huh 53:9 human	identification 23:20 identify 30:2 IEP 56:10 IEPA 41:15 72:22 ignore 89:10 II 31:21 IL 3:5,10,16,21 4:5 Illinois 1:1,4,7,12,14,18,21 3:3,8,14 5:4,6 6:11 8:2 9:15 10:8,9,12 14:13,22 15:9 21:2 21:9,22 23:10 29:3 29:10 30:5,20 31:14 32:20 33:17,21 38:19 43:16 46:16 54:14 55:5 59:9,14 59:17 67:2 79:15 80:17 81:9,11,12,18 81:19 88:1,6,16 89:15 94:4 impact 8:23 30:15 38:7,20 74:21 impacted 30:12 73:5 impair 84:20 important 17:1 48:17 56:21 92:12	I	J
			J 3:9 4:4 jail 83:8 90:17 JAMES 3:20 Jamie 2:7 46:24 52:23

53:13,14 55:16 85:18,18,20,22 86:6	King 19:15 85:15	87:17	12:15 19:16 85:15
January 77:24 79:15 81:16	knew 49:17 92:19	Lastly 11:24	list 85:23
jargon 18:22 75:21	know 7:11 11:13 12:15 13:11 19:8,13 21:18 21:19 26:16 28:7 29:23 31:2,6 33:9 34:6 35:20 47:10 49:10 50:7 52:23 53:3 57:18 58:1 59:6,9 60:9 63:8 68:4 70:1 72:16 74:18 75:3,4 76:7 79:11 81:1,22 82:5 85:3 86:12 87:6,7,8 87:8,18 88:9 89:16	latitude 63:10 79:7,12	liter 28:22 58:12,19,24 61:6,11,18 62:5
Jeff 35:11 47:14	knowledge 16:24 30:22 52:4 72:11 82:20 84:3 87:2 90:22	Lavieri 2:6 46:5,6,19	little 12:23 47:17 53:22 59:5 62:2 63:9 76:23 79:7
jim@meyers-flowe... 3:22	knows 28:3 41:11	law 5:2 16:8 74:4	live 80:16
John 2:6 46:6	<hr/> L <hr/>	lay 31:3	lives 80:1
Johnson 1:16 94:3,20	L 1:16 94:3	layman's 42:22	location 36:24
Jones 19:16 85:15	lab 49:9,12 51:1,5 63:18 64:4 74:24	lead 73:7	long 5:23 21:3,24 51:20 65:1
Judge 5:2 64:9 79:5	lack 38:6 77:22 79:21	Leap 19:9	longer 35:11
judgment 7:8	ladies 27:9	learned 31:3	long-term 8:19 17:4,8 33:8,10 33:16,24 34:11,17 35:10 36:10 37:2,21 46:13,17 48:21
June 8:3,4 27:8 28:15,21 29:22 30:6 70:5,8,9 70:12,12 71:6 72:5 73:16 74:5,13	laid 10:18,19	learning 82:2,11	look 67:7 68:2 77:12 84:8 84:10,21 91:22
J-a-m-i-e 46:24 55:16 86:6	language 57:16	led 53:2,2	looked 29:13 81:2
<hr/> K <hr/>	LaSalle 1:7,19 5:7,17 7:23 14:12,18 22:10 32:5 47:11 60:18 77:11 79:22 80:23 89:4	leery 63:10	looking 28:7 29:2 47:9 77:23 90:16
Karry 19:15 85:15	LaSalle's 10:1,21 55:7 81:15	left 31:13 59:10	looks 5:21
Kathy 1:16 94:3,20		legal 12:20 18:22 75:21	lost 35:13
keep 41:14 47:23 65:16 74:23 79:11		let's 27:3 33:6 38:1 39:11	lot 12:20,21 27:15 80:20 82:3 86:6,7,14 88:4 89:15,22
keeping 52:8 66:2		level 16:18 28:12 30:16,19 42:23 43:5,14	lowered 86:12
kept 39:3,16,23		levels 8:6 17:22 29:4 42:12 50:4 70:6 84:3	L-a-v 46:6
kind 13:11 31:13 45:19 47:21 54:18 76:22 79:11 91:13		liability 18:19	
kinds 89:21		limit 28:23 42:18	
		limited 30:11 82:24 90:15	
		limits 29:21 63:2	
		Lindsay	

M			
Madison	20:4,8,12,19 24:3	33:9	87:19
1:20	25:23 26:2 44:8,16	mention	month
maintain	45:2,12,16 48:5,8	40:14	38:12 41:12,16,17
90:18	52:11 53:18,24	mentioned	70:7 73:20
maintains	54:21,22 55:10 56:8	7:14 9:10 38:3 66:10	monthly
18:21	56:19 57:13 60:12	85:2 86:14 87:4	8:5 17:23 28:19 29:1
making	62:15 63:13,15 64:8	met	59:9 70:10 71:5,7
18:15 23:16 49:7	64:16 65:2,18 66:5	46:14	73:18,24 74:1 76:13
man	66:14 67:5,11,19	MEYER	76:15 83:24
52:14	68:7,13,16,19,22	3:20 4:4	months
manner	69:2,7,10,14 70:13	milligram	16:19 28:20 38:12,14
49:1,4 50:14 51:2	71:9 72:4,7 73:6,17	61:17	38:14,15 42:7 74:1
Maple	73:21 74:14,18 76:9	milligrams	morning
3:21 4:5	78:2,5,10,12 79:4,9	28:22 58:11,15,19,23	5:2 78:24
March	82:14,16 83:10,14	61:5,11 62:5	motion
78:1 79:15 81:16	84:14 90:8 92:3,4,7	million	67:22
91:18,23	93:1	58:20	move
marked	mean	mind	34:6 38:1 44:9 64:14
23:20,23	7:4 56:24 57:18 65:3	5:24 74:23 85:18	85:8
marked/retained	75:20 86:16 87:3	minnows	moved
2:20	Meaning	38:6	21:13
marks	51:10	minute	movement
50:7	means	33:5 45:7 65:15	67:22
Marty	33:12 47:6 57:8 94:9	91:11	moving
2:9 12:14 52:19	measure	minutes	67:9
mass	31:7,9,12 49:17	64:21 65:21 66:8	multiple
29:15	62:23,23	68:3	77:2
Masters	measures	misconception	multiply
21:11	50:12 61:19	41:8	58:19,21
math	measuring	missed	municipal
58:18	58:11 61:14 62:23	48:12,23	1:7
matter	meat	missing	municipality
48:12 83:6 92:12	58:10	92:1	88:9,22
mayor	media	mon	M-a-r-t-y
77:1,10 79:23	77:5	75:11	52:20
mayor's	meeting	monetary	
77:22	77:19	34:1	<hr/> N <hr/>
McFerguson	meetings	money	N
45:12	48:2 77:2	88:8	2:1 3:1 4:1
McNeilly	Melanie	monitor	name
22:12	60:3,4,6	8:23 42:17	5:3 6:9 20:20 45:23
McPhedran	member	monitored	52:15,17 54:6 57:24
2:5,8,12 3:20 6:2,5	19:21 46:1 60:16	75:12	60:17,20
7:3 12:7,8 15:21	92:18	monitoring	names
	members	36:15 41:9,10,24	19:15
	13:10 25:19,22 31:5	42:13,19 83:24	name's

46:24 54:8 86:5	72:10 88:18,19	14:9 15:13 16:2	70:11 89:19
naming	number	19:7,22,23 20:1,2,6	ones
80:20	5:8 17:18 22:8,24	20:10 24:2 25:17	19:19
nature	23:21,24 24:5,15	44:13,21,24 45:5,8	ongoing
13:2 21:8 34:19	25:14,15 33:17	45:11,17 46:2,21	48:22 88:5
nearly	36:11,12 44:10,14	47:23 48:4 52:13,17	opened
76:24	46:9 66:20 67:9	53:7,10,20 54:2,5	78:22 85:2
need	numbered	54:21,24 55:13	opening
19:17 66:15	66:23	56:17 57:3,11,21	30:24
needed	numerous	58:1,5 60:14,19	operating
18:3	25:22	61:22 63:6 64:10,13	41:21
negative	nutshell	65:15 66:3,18 67:6	operation
30:15	51:22	68:1,6,9,18,21,24	22:23
neglected	N/A	69:12,16 71:11 73:8	operator
40:4	71:17	73:11 76:3,17,21	41:19 47:15
negotiate	O	77:13 78:8,11,18,21	opinion
87:8		79:3,6,10 80:2,5	30:9,10 31:15,16
neighbors	oath	82:14 84:12,24	50:23
77:7	20:16	85:11,14,19,22 86:3	opinions
neither	object	86:20 91:3,5,8,16	25:9
94:12	7:9 15:17	92:6,23 93:4	opportunity
nine	objection	officers	6:13 24:5 51:4
38:15	44:13,21,24 53:18	12:17,17	optimization
Nitrate	66:19 93:2	Officer's	9:3 18:2 43:9 49:24
79:19	objections	7:8 13:9,22	50:12
notable	16:1	officials	optimizing
7:10	objective	62:20	50:8
Notary	46:17	off-the-road	Orange
1:17	occasion	62:8	21:14
note	28:15	Oh	order
17:1 63:7	occasions	20:6	6:19 13:9,22 15:23
notice	28:14 38:11	okay	16:3 33:17 64:15
19:10 23:4,9 32:19	occupation	6:4 19:19,22 20:10	ordinance
40:10,11 43:24	20:24	25:20 27:12 28:3	64:20 65:4 66:11,24
51:24 74:8 88:4	occurred	37:1 45:9,11 46:2	67:23
notified	8:3 34:20 73:16 74:5	46:19,21 57:11 58:5	ordinances
50:1 74:12,20	odor	59:18 61:21 66:3,18	67:17
notify	77:8	68:1,18 70:19,24	organic
73:15	office	71:4,11,21 72:2,2,8	31:9
noting	3:8,14 9:15 10:11	72:21,24 73:10 75:6	organization
71:23	13:4 15:10 16:4	76:2 79:3 82:10,12	82:6
novel	18:13 30:3 38:4	85:10 87:9,18 89:7	original
11:19	51:9,13 83:9 90:21	89:8,9 90:4 91:4,7,8	23:4 33:24
November	officer	old	originally
7:15 15:16 74:8	2:20 3:4 5:4 6:4,6,9	7:21 35:3	40:12
NPDES	11:13 12:6,10 13:23	once	Ottawa

1:20	parameters	35:15 37:9 42:15	8:19
outfall	16:10 18:23	75:22	plans
8:18 14:13 22:23	Pardon	periods	88:12
26:9 28:9,21 29:21	52:16	17:2	plant
70:16,16,18,21,22	Park	permit	7:22 8:13 9:19 11:4
outflow	37:5	8:12 38:13,16 40:2,4	11:14 14:12,22
8:24 26:7 43:15	part	42:16,16,19 50:7	22:19,20,23 26:6,9
outside	24:8 26:21 37:2	62:4 70:20	28:11,13,17 36:21
15:18 91:2	40:13 42:5,13 44:4	permits	38:5 41:22 47:15
overage	48:15 51:16 52:7	9:5 72:10	50:10 77:3,6,18,20
8:6 71:20 74:4	56:22 58:2 63:19	permitted	83:2 86:8,14,15
overages	64:4 73:18 83:21	29:21	87:13
8:9 11:5 16:17 27:24	85:1 86:10,18 90:10	person	plants
71:23 74:22	93:2	12:4 53:13	22:18 34:19
overflows	participants	personnel	please
33:18,19 46:12	12:12,18 13:14	47:20	12:9 20:12,21 46:3
overly	particular	Peru	47:24 52:14,15,18
77:8	72:6 91:1	3:21 4:5	54:4,6 55:2,14
oxygen	particularly	phonetic	60:20 76:18 78:9
31:8	7:10 83:5	77:17	85:21
oxygenation	parties	phosphorous	point
8:7	5:10,14 15:17 91:24	41:8 42:12,18,20,23	39:11 40:8 42:6,22
	94:14,17	43:5,14 49:23 50:5	55:23 64:17 88:6
	parts	50:9	89:6
	66:10	phosphorus	points
	pass	9:2 17:21,22,23 18:2	60:1,8,9,9
	83:4	41:7	pollutant
	passed	photo	74:16
	7:5 12:3	77:12,14	pollutants
	Patrick	physically	8:6
	4:4 24:19	75:14	pollution
	pay	picked	1:1,12,14 3:3 5:4
	10:11	48:20	13:8,13,21,23 15:22
	PCB	pipe	16:9 18:23 19:3
	1:5 5:8	14:21 15:6 32:10	27:10 73:4,16 83:18
	penalty	pjh@mfbhlaw.com	83:22 84:8 91:20
	9:22,24 10:10,11,17	4:6	poor
	11:7 88:16,18,20,22	places	77:21
	people	37:7	Porter
	1:3 5:6,22 6:24 19:12	plan	37:7
	47:4	9:3 17:5,8 18:3 22:3	portion
	perform	33:8,10,16,24 34:11	65:6 66:8 67:3,12,18
	33:15	34:17 36:3,10 37:2	68:8
	performed	37:21 43:9 46:13,17	portions
	49:10	48:21 49:24	64:20,24 65:21 66:8
	period	planning	66:22

position 18:10	principle 68:20	Protection 9:16 10:9	86:16
possible 65:1 71:6	print 94:10	protective 30:4 59:13	quarreling 48:13 62:21 63:4
possibly 80:1	prior 10:23 13:2 24:8 88:3	provide 70:4	question 30:6 43:7 45:15,22 47:1,8 51:19 53:5,8 53:11,14,19,22 54:3 54:23 55:1 56:5,9 58:3 59:18 60:13,15 60:16 63:16,22 65:13 75:8 76:6 86:17 88:23 89:5,12
post 91:12	private 82:7 88:21	provided 53:6 58:12	questioning 53:2 63:17
posted 83:16	probably 42:5 72:17 88:13	provides 33:4	questions 6:1 45:3,6 51:22 52:12,24 53:16 63:12 70:1 82:15
posts 77:5	problem 56:14,15 62:2	providing 43:4	question's 50:21 53:24
post-hearing 45:19 68:10 73:12 85:7 91:13 92:1	problems 77:7	provision 27:22	quick 75:15 89:14
potent 77:8	proceed 15:19 45:24 76:21 79:13	provisions 1:14 27:2	quickly 34:3
potential 67:16	proceedings 12:22	public 1:17 5:12,19,20,23 6:19 13:11,15,17,24 14:16,20 16:6 17:20 18:16 19:13 24:20 25:19,22,24 27:11 28:3 31:6 35:20 39:14 41:10 47:16 58:2 69:5,19 72:11 72:13 74:12,19 91:9 91:23 92:14,18	quite 77:21 80:1
pounds 29:19,20 58:13 59:3 59:7,8 61:7,16,18 62:3,22 63:1	process 18:14 87:24	publicly 73:15	quote 54:14
PPD 58:12	processes 89:20	pump 36:12,20,23	<hr/> R <hr/>
practice 12:2,21	profession 20:23 25:10	purpose 11:8 26:18	R 3:1 4:1
precedent 12:24	professional 20:15 21:1,3,7	purposes 17:13 23:21	Radium 79:19
prepared 48:19 52:5	progress 8:19	pursuant 1:13 7:9 9:6 10:15	rain 28:10 29:3,6 30:7 70:17,21 76:11
preparing 23:13	projects 37:13	put 35:11 65:22 71:24 91:21	rainfall 16:21 28:16
present 6:13 13:3 16:12 19:4 42:2,15	pronounce 80:21	<hr/> Q <hr/>	rains 29:12 30:16,19
presented 14:2,4	proof 17:13 39:5,9,12 88:1	quality 14:17 15:5 30:5,15 32:3,4 59:13 74:22 78:6,12 79:1 85:2,6	raise 84:7 85:23
presently 32:23	proposal 5:10 6:21 9:12 24:10 67:1		raised 7:17 9:18 50:22
preserved 18:15	propose 5:14		RC
pretty 23:8	proposed 5:16		
previously 35:10	prosecuting 87:24		
	protecting 18:16 37:22		

2:13	5:22	32:24 33:4,8 35:3,7	66:1,7,8,22 67:13
RD	records	36:20 37:11 40:6,15	73:9 78:16,18 82:21
2:8	16:23 41:12 72:13	41:1,9 42:12 43:4,4	85:24
read	record's	43:8,23,24 44:5,19	relief
64:23 65:20 67:8	84:15	51:6,13,15,23 56:8	9:20
ready	recreate	62:18 64:2,3,17,19	reluctant
69:17	39:22	64:19 68:14 74:5,6	83:2
really	RECROSS	regarding	remiss
19:23 75:15 77:24	69:22	7:20,22 15:5 17:4	19:8
89:4,13	redact	23:22 78:3 90:13	remotely
reason	64:20	regards	75:12
15:22 34:13 48:15	REDIRECT	14:20 18:19 32:7	render
56:22 62:22	48:7 63:14	34:16 41:7	25:9,9
reasonable	reduce	registered	renewed
19:2 24:10,13 25:10	33:17	17:18 21:21,24 25:11	38:16
30:9	reduced	regular	repairing
reasonableness	94:10	41:24 42:14	77:17
19:1	refer	regularly	repairs
reasonably	27:12	43:1 82:19 84:22	86:7,18 87:9,12
25:4	reference	regulated	repeat
receive	25:16 32:8	88:5	51:19 80:10
83:23	referenced	regulating	repeatability
received	22:19	89:16	38:18
23:9 40:12	references	regulations	report
receiving	27:7	10:8 32:21 46:7 80:8	8:17,17,23 9:2,3,7
28:12 30:16,19 33:19	referral	80:13,24 82:22	18:3 23:14 35:19
38:9,20 41:14 43:16	88:11	related	36:18 37:14,17 39:8
59:3	referrals	6:22 16:4 18:8 50:8	39:21 41:15,18
reckoning	88:1 90:21	65:22 86:9,23 94:13	42:10 43:8 44:18
29:24	referred	relation	49:15,24 50:3,8,14
recognize	90:12	66:10	50:15,17,18 52:5
56:21	reflect	relative	55:12,17 56:11 76:9
recommendation	57:4 79:7 85:5	94:16	76:13,15 82:18
88:17	refused	release	reported
record	47:21	63:12	17:22 39:23 42:24
7:3 19:10 20:21,24	refuses	released	43:1 49:4 51:2
26:5,16 31:1 39:3,3	48:1	46:18	57:14 73:21 74:3,10
41:19 61:24 62:13	regard	relevance	76:13 79:16
63:7 64:24 65:20	13:10,18 14:11,17,20	7:8 14:15,19 62:2	reporter
69:13 70:3 77:13	15:6 16:11,16,17,24	69:5	2:22 45:23 52:15,18
78:3 79:6 88:17	17:7,10,21 18:7	relevancy	54:7 55:14 60:21
91:11,14,15,17 93:5	22:9,16,23 23:2,6,7	15:19 63:8,10 67:9	69:20 85:3 94:1,4
recorded	23:12 24:9,15 25:3	relevant	94:21
5:24 70:24 71:3	25:5,8,14,14 26:3	6:16,20,21 7:17 15:6	reporting
73:19	26:11,15,23 27:1,2	15:14 25:6 27:21	8:22 17:1 32:13 33:7
recording	31:15,20,21 32:9,13	64:20 65:4,6,7,21	33:7 38:2 39:15

43:14 50:6 56:21	resolutions	reviewed	87:4,15 89:13,19
reports	67:17	50:13	90:5,7,24
8:12,19,21,24 9:4	resolve	right	saying
11:1,15 17:4,8,12	7:16 11:20 23:10	6:4 16:7 19:22 27:20	47:5 55:11 56:6,10
17:23 35:6,8,9,21	resolved	31:24 36:22 39:7,12	56:20 62:16 81:17
37:23 38:4,10,22	9:11 62:11	39:13 49:14 55:19	83:17
40:8 41:4,9,10,24	respect	57:11 62:9 66:7,17	says
42:4,14 47:2 48:19	12:16 16:5,6,10	66:20 67:6 68:6	55:17 57:8 58:9
48:22 49:2 50:23	respected	70:14 71:3 75:17,23	59:20 61:9 71:17
55:22 56:23 63:18	62:19	76:18 83:3 87:1	81:8
64:4 72:10 73:24	respectfully	88:19 89:18 91:10	SAYWER
74:1 80:18 83:19	79:22	92:23	65:11 66:21 67:14
84:1,5,9,16,23 85:7	respects	river	68:23 69:1 75:20
92:17	18:17	8:2 14:12,14,22,23	82:10 87:2,10,22
representative	respondent	15:7 22:19 29:3,11	90:1
14:7 77:18 84:1	1:9 3:23 4:7 5:7	29:15,17 30:5,20	schedule
representatives	32:18 43:22 55:6	31:14 32:11 43:16	8:22 34:1 45:20
14:8 15:2,9 18:12	Respondents	59:9,14,17 74:17,22	SCHNEICER
request	11:11	rules	85:17
5:12	Respondent's	7:9 13:8,20 15:22	Schneider
requesting	54:15 56:1 66:19	16:1,9	2:9 12:14 19:13
79:23	responding	<hr/> S <hr/>	52:16,19,19,22 53:9
requests	56:3,4	S	53:12 85:16,20 91:6
51:16	response	2:15 3:1 4:1	91:7
require	23:7 27:16	Sam	Science
29:21	responsibilities	22:12	21:10,12
required	54:15 55:6 56:1 57:8	sample	scientist
8:7,12,23 9:5 33:16	responsibility	60:1	31:2
38:11 40:4 49:3	15:24	sanitary	scope
59:24	responsive	33:12 36:14	91:2
requirement	48:6	Sanitation	seated
35:19 50:16 74:19	rest	21:14	5:15
requirements	31:3	save	second
17:1 33:23 40:2	restate	80:1	8:10,16 66:24
requires	55:2	Sawyer	Section
10:6 42:17	result	3:15 6:7,8,10 7:7	6:20 10:15 18:22
requiring	75:1,4	12:6,9,11 15:11	sections
10:5	resulted	25:18 44:15,22,23	67:4
research	28:18	45:5,9 64:22 65:3	see
13:1 73:3	results	65:14 66:12,17 67:8	19:19 27:4 32:1
reserve	75:13 81:2,14,18,21	67:21 68:5,15 69:3	36:15 38:7 45:24
45:18	83:15	69:8 71:8,13,17,19	52:13 59:10 67:7
resident	returning	71:22 72:6,13,19,22	68:2 72:12 73:4
60:18	10:22	73:1 75:15 81:10,17	77:4
residents	review	82:3,5,12 86:19,21	seeing
14:1 47:11 83:22	7:24 24:5,7		71:14 86:23 88:3

seen 86:24	47:15	sir 15:20 19:7 20:7 21:4	7:21 10:16 47:13
send 40:5,6 41:18 43:8	sewer 35:3 36:13,14 37:4,6	25:8 46:2,21 48:4	53:5 66:9
50:16	sewers 34:18,20 36:1	48:17 53:8 55:13,16	specifically 7:13 22:18 32:15
sending 35:8 74:8	share 6:16 7:2	56:17 57:6,12 85:24	81:10 84:9
sensitive 13:15 92:20	Shawna 2:11 60:17,24	86:5 92:24	spell 45:23 52:15,18 54:6
sent 6:23 18:3 25:21 35:6	sheet 19:18	sit 5:20 69:20 75:2	68:11
35:21 36:18 37:15	short 18:3	site 91:21,23	spend 34:2 37:10
37:18 38:11,22,24	Shorthand 94:21	six 10:20 26:17 43:21	spending 17:6 88:8
39:2,5,9,12 40:9	shortly 38:16	65:19 66:7 67:9,12	spent 55:18
41:4,24 48:14 49:18	show 23:19 29:18 30:1	67:14,15 68:14,15	stage 29:11
50:18 56:23 82:19	59:6 66:1	75:3	stand 5:19 45:22 46:2
separately 44:12	showing 77:12	slightly 28:19	52:14 54:5 55:14
separating 34:18	shown 29:5,6	small 21:18	69:18,19
separation 36:13 37:4,6	shows 40:20 56:14	social 77:4	standard 61:20
series 38:6	shuffle 35:13	solids 8:7 31:11,12	standards 81:5,6,12,13,19,22
seriously 83:13,15	shut 75:18	somebody 66:15	83:12
serve 11:8	shut 39:17 45:12	soon 23:8	standpoint 13:12 35:20 39:14
serves 10:13	shut 75:18	sorry 20:6 45:13 51:11,21	stands 31:8,11
set 85:8	shut 75:18	55:23 56:18 60:13	start 5:1 22:13 47:9 69:17
sets 10:15	shut 75:18	61:1 80:9 85:19	started 22:11 33:22
setting 92:11	side 86:10 87:5,7 90:20	87:21	starting 38:12
settlement 5:11 6:22 9:13 11:19	signed 24:18,24 41:19	south 14:11 22:20 26:8	state 1:3,18 3:8,14 5:6
12:4 18:20 19:2	significant 28:15 37:13 88:18	Southern 7:22	6:11 7:7,17 14:3
23:23 24:10 67:2	sign-up 19:18	speak 19:21 60:20 65:16	16:15 18:4 20:20,23
89:5	similar 11:10	71:12 84:6,12	21:1,19,22 23:4
seven 65:19 66:7 67:15	simply 50:15	speaking 80:14	30:2,23 31:19 33:17
68:14,15,21 75:3	simultaneously 7:18	speaks 57:17,20	42:21 43:19 44:5,14
sewage 8:18 33:11,12,18		species 8:24	44:18 45:22 50:19
		specific 62:17 63:5 69:6	51:23 52:3,14,17
			54:6 59:2 60:19
			62:17 63:5 69:6

80:7,12,22,24 82:17 94:4	26:13,15,19,21 27:1 32:2,8,15,17 33:4 36:7,8 37:16 40:15 43:20 44:11 48:15 54:13 55:4 56:23 57:17,19 58:10 62:10,12 67:1,24 71:4 73:9 75:16,22 75:24 78:17,21 82:24 83:21 84:19 85:1 86:4,10,23,24 87:11	submitted 9:6 11:1,15 17:9,15 39:4,21 42:21 43:10 73:20 75:13	suspended 8:6 31:11,12
stated 6:9,19 31:18 46:12 55:8 57:6 69:17 71:5	stipulations 11:17 12:1	subsequent 32:18	suspicion 17:24
statement 54:12 62:13 76:23 77:19	storm 16:22	substance 40:16	sustained 53:20 54:1
statements 7:12	storms 46:8	substantive 35:23	sworn 20:11,13,16 94:7
states 17:19 21:19 38:3 51:8 55:24 81:10	stormwater 33:12 36:14	suggest 39:14 64:14	synopsis 21:7
State's 16:23 63:8 65:23	stream 30:16,19 38:9,20 41:14 43:16 59:4	suggested 19:6	system 33:11,13 35:3 36:14
station 36:12,20,23	streams 33:19	suggesting 37:16	S-c-h-n-e-i-d-e-r 52:20
statistical 38:18	Street 1:20 3:4,9,15 14:12 22:19	suggestion 40:18,22	S-h-a-w-n-a 60:24
statutory 18:21	strength 31:9	Suite 3:5,10,16	<hr/> T <hr/>
stay 5:15	strong 18:14	summarize 28:6	T 2:15
stenographic 94:9	student 31:4	summarized 26:12,24 27:15	take 44:11 58:18 67:7 69:11,21 73:17 83:12 91:22
step 5:15 20:3 64:11 91:9	studies 73:3	summarizes 12:3	taken 1:16 63:17 64:3 94:9 94:15
steps 47:18	stuff 22:12 31:2 47:21 48:2 65:20 69:11 89:22	summarizing 27:24	takes 74:24 83:14
stifling 7:11	subject 9:13 15:8 22:4 33:1 69:2,4 72:17 83:6	summary 5:16 27:17	talk 33:6 53:14
stipulate 18:18 64:18 65:7,10 66:12	submit 8:12 35:19 84:16 85:6 91:19	summation 6:7	talked 14:2 31:1 33:7 43:19 44:18 78:7
stipulated 9:24 26:18 44:5 66:14		superintendent 24:20	talking 25:20 26:7 28:4 31:6 33:22 36:21 53:4 63:21,22 70:15 77:6 78:13,23 79:8,10 80:7,12 90:24 91:1
stipulation 5:10,16,17 6:21 7:4 7:14,15,19 8:15 9:7 9:11,12,16,24 10:1 10:3,20 11:3,13 13:19 15:15 16:11 16:13 18:11 23:22 24:9 25:6,15 26:3		support 49:12	taxpayer 11:21
		supported 50:24	team 24:8
		supposed 59:16	tell 77:10
		sure 18:15 19:8,12,14 21:9 25:18 28:6 31:7 34:10 65:2 69:4 80:5 81:3 82:9 82:12 85:22	

tender 44:16	9:9,10 11:20 13:19 13:24 19:5 21:8	34:8 35:12,15 37:9 38:23 39:16 40:3,21	35:14
term 75:17	31:4 34:9,19,20 36:6 43:21 49:7	42:2,15,24 44:9 45:3 47:13 48:18,22	transparency 77:23
terms 42:22 89:5	65:24 70:3 87:20 89:15,23	49:2,18 55:18 56:17 57:9 65:16 71:12,14	transparent 52:10 84:10
Terrence 2:4 20:14,22	think 7:3 12:3 13:3 19:9,17	74:22 75:22 78:11 85:7 87:16 90:2	treated 31:10,13 38:8,19 41:13
Terry 20:9	19:23 22:13 28:14 29:8 30:23 31:5	93:7	treatment 7:22 8:13 9:19 11:4 11:14 14:12 21:20
test 38:18 74:24	35:9,12,19 36:7 41:7,15 56:19 57:3	timely 5:11 35:22 39:16	22:3,18,19 26:6,9 28:11 38:5 41:21
tested 80:18	57:16 60:1 61:17,18 62:1,21 63:3,7	40:8,20 41:24 42:10 49:1,4 50:22 56:11	47:15 50:9 59:17 77:3,6,18,20 83:2 86:7,13,15 87:13
testified 20:17 57:5	64:15 65:3,5,9,18 66:5 67:12 68:7	56:21,24 57:14 64:3 76:10	tried 80:21
testify 17:19 74:20 94:7	69:3,16,17 72:17 74:7 78:22,22 82:17	times 38:15,17 61:10 79:18	triggers 89:20
testimony 16:12 63:4 94:5,8	74:7 78:22,22 82:17 84:22 85:8 88:11,22 92:12	79:19,20,20 81:24	trouble 55:1
testing 81:15,18,21	third 8:10 9:1	today 5:9,13 6:14 7:13 13:18 17:13 19:8,9 70:2 91:10 92:21	true 90:3
tests 40:3	thorough 25:4	told 84:2	trusted 49:20
thank 6:6,8,13 12:5,6,8,9 12:18,18 19:7 20:2 20:8 45:10,11 46:19 48:3,4 57:12 60:10 60:23 61:22 63:11 64:10,10,12 65:17 69:16,21 72:2 75:9 76:4,16,17 79:14 80:2 82:12,13 85:11 85:13 91:3,4,14 92:2,23,24 93:4	thought 5:23 17:12 92:11	tomorrow 83:9	truth 94:7,8
Thanks 6:15 37:1	thousands 17:6 37:10	top 59:5,16	try 13:17 92:8
theoretically 57:14	threat 54:19	topics 14:6	trying 47:2,4 48:10 55:20 55:20 59:1 69:3,12 75:18
thereof 43:22	threatening 54:16	torrential 76:11	TSS 28:9,18,24 29:16,20 31:1,11 59:8,8 62:24 71:5,14,15 74:6
thing 15:14 38:1 47:18 48:17 92:17	three 7:1,18,20 8:16 9:3 10:1 21:17 26:13,17 27:18 36:13,23 46:14 60:4,4,5 61:5 61:13 67:15 83:1	total 8:6 18:6 31:11 44:6	turn 73:24
things	three-year 7:21	town 80:16,17,19	turnaround 75:2
	ticked 19:19	track 41:14	turned 47:4
	time 5:1 13:6 22:15 24:8 29:2,10,12,16 30:14 30:17 33:22 34:4,5	training 21:8	two
		transcript 57:4 66:9 67:7 68:2 85:4 91:12,18	
		transition 17:2 35:12	
		transitioning	

6:3 7:21,24 8:6,8,9 9:14 11:22 13:12 16:14,17,19 17:17 19:15 21:17 23:21 24:15 25:15,24 26:4 26:12,13 27:13,17 27:18 28:7,14 29:7 29:7,8,18 30:6,11 31:19 33:6 36:8 40:13 42:7 43:21 44:2,17 45:1 47:17 49:11 58:8,8 63:19 63:20 64:5 67:3,15 74:22	updates 35:10 86:11 upgrade 36:12 upgrading 34:18 36:20 use 19:24 92:3	77:17,18 voice 47:23 65:17 voluntarily 74:4,10 voluntary 11:10 vs 1:6	38:8 41:21 59:17 75:11 78:13,14,23 83:1 water 1:6 5:9 14:17 15:4,5 21:20 22:5,6 30:5 30:15 32:3,4 59:13 73:4 75:11 77:3,3,5 77:6,11,15,17,20,24 78:4,6,15,16 79:2 79:16,24 80:18 81:15 83:5,12,19 85:2,6 86:15,16 90:14 92:17
type 9:2,3 11:12 types 8:17,18 38:10	<hr/> V 3:15 Van 3:4 verification 49:18 verifying 51:7 Vermilion 14:22 Vermillion 15:7 32:11 versus 5:7 Victor 46:6 view 11:20 violated 62:7 violation 8:11,11,16 10:24 23:9,11 40:10,11,22 44:1 62:3 71:10,13 71:24 72:23 73:23 74:9 88:2,4,18 89:21 violations 7:21,23 8:1 9:7,21 10:4,6,7 11:4,9 23:3 23:5 27:8,22 28:8 28:19 32:14,19 33:6 47:7 51:18,24 54:16 55:7 56:2 62:4 71:23 83:1 86:9 Viserine	<hr/> W W 1:20 3:9,15 wait 33:5 65:15 78:8,8,8 waiving 93:2 Walnut 37:6 want 13:17 15:13 33:21 35:20 45:18 50:22 52:7,23 57:19 62:12 69:18 70:2 73:17 75:16 84:7,9,14 85:3 88:2 90:8 92:2 wanted 54:13 wanting 6:15 84:19 wants 7:1 83:18,23 84:8,21 92:18,22 Washington 3:9,15 wasn't 17:21,22 37:18 47:12 48:11 49:6 71:7,8,9 71:10,13,19 83:21 85:22 87:5 wastewater 7:22 8:1,13 9:19 11:4 11:14 14:11 21:15 21:20 22:3,6,17,18 26:6,9 28:12,17 30:12 31:10,13 38:5	Watson 24:19,24 way 34:6,16 37:14 40:11 48:10 76:24 81:20 ways 11:20 53:16 weather 28:11 website 80:15,22 81:6,11 83:16 week 60:1,6 61:5,13 weekly 8:5 70:10 weeks 77:4 welcome 46:20 60:11 welcomes 12:19 went 21:11 28:22 weren't 38:22 40:8 56:3,23 wet 28:10 we'll 6:3 16:19 57:13 65:7 66:5 68:19 we're
<hr/> U uncommon 11:12 underline 15:14 understand 24:19 65:23 69:14 74:2,3 82:17 84:4 87:23 understanding 25:12 35:7 37:12 55:1 62:14 understood 25:13 53:21 81:21,23 82:1 unfortunately 13:20 unique 12:23 units 58:11 University 21:9 unusual 11:19 unusually 30:7			

13:7,15 16:12 19:4 25:20 26:7 28:4 31:6 34:10 36:21 45:9 46:10 47:2,3 48:5,12 53:4 59:11 62:1,1,2,3,9,21 63:4 65:12,16 66:1 69:3 69:10,17 70:15 71:11 78:13 83:10 84:19 86:22 87:16 87:24 88:7,8,9,20 90:24 91:1,11,14,16 92:20 93:5	worked 21:13,16 22:8 34:3 34:10 68:12	11:8 \$60,000 88:20	15th 41:16
working 17:7 22:7,14,14 37:10 46:10,11	works 24:20 47:16 87:7	<hr/> 0 <hr/>	17 79:16
wouldn't 52:7	wrong 90:18	001 14:13 26:10 28:9,21 43:15 70:16,16,21	18 38:12,12
wrote 76:22	W-i-l-c-o-x 61:1	<hr/> 1 <hr/>	1800 3:10,16
we've 31:18 46:16 48:2 88:23 91:17	<hr/> X <hr/>	1 2:16	19 27:23 42:1
whoever's 20:3,3	X 2:1,15	1st 70:12	1920 39:17
Wilcox 2:11 60:17,24 61:1,3	<hr/> Y <hr/>	10 40:13 44:1	1992 21:5
wildlife 54:20 73:5	yeah 15:13 22:11 23:8 27:12 37:12 39:10 47:19 49:19 53:9 54:2,24 57:3 60:7 60:21 63:24 65:9,11 68:23 73:8 81:14 85:17 89:3 90:5,7 92:6	10th 41:16	<hr/> 2 <hr/>
willing 58:18 84:15	year 29:14 46:9,12 50:8 59:12 74:9 76:24 77:20	10:00 1:19 5:1	2 2:17
wish 51:5	years 12:2,21 21:15 22:9 34:21 36:1 46:14 83:1	10:28 78:24	20 2:5 19:11 42:1
wished 51:6		100 34:21 36:1	200 59:11
withheld 52:3		1000 59:8	2000 21:16 22:1
witness 19:24 20:13 24:1 45:4 46:20 52:12 53:21 60:2,5,7,11 64:12		101-3 6:20	2008 22:12
witnesses 2:3 6:3 94:6,8		11 21:15	2009 33:14
word 38:7		11:26 91:10	2011 33:20
words 79:2		11:30 91:17 93:7	2012 22:13
work 17:5 22:3,17 35:22 35:23 36:5,19,20 37:18,20 47:3 65:18 66:5 92:9		119 1:20	2013 33:22
		12 21:15 38:14 79:17	2015 72:12
		1200 3:21 4:5	2019 8:13,20 9:1,4
		13th 7:15	2020 9:1
		14th 91:19,23	2021 8:3,20 9:4 16:18 23:5 27:9 29:14 42:7 59:12,19 70:5,8,9 74:13 78:1,1 79:15 79:15 81:16,16 83:4
	<hr/> Z <hr/>	15 38:14 79:19	
	zero 46:10		
	<hr/> \$ <hr/>		
	\$5470		

2022 11:1 41:5 44:18	363 79:18 81:24	61354 3:21 4:5
2023 7:15 15:16	365 60:8	63 2:12
2024 1:18 19:9	38.9 29:1	630 3:5
21 39:17 42:1	<hr/> 4 <hr/>	630-576-9696 4:6
21st 70:9,12	4 2:18	69 2:13 3:9,15
22 27:23 39:20 43:11	4th 91:24	<hr/> 7 <hr/>
22nd 11:1	42(h) 10:15 18:22	7 2:19
23 27:24 29:5,9	43 59:8	7th 70:8
23rd 15:16	46 2:6,7	7.2 79:19
24 27:24	48 2:8	73 63:19
24-33 1:5 5:8	<hr/> 5 <hr/>	74 24:21 43:9 79:20
25 19:11	5 2:18	<hr/> 8 <hr/>
25th 92:1	50 12:1 34:21	8.345 58:21
29th 1:18 19:9	52 2:9	801 70:18,22
<hr/> 3 <hr/>	54 2:10	815-223-0230 3:22
3 2:17	550 60:9	834 59:7
30 12:21 28:22 36:8,9	<hr/> 6 <hr/>	<hr/> 9 <hr/>
31st 50:7	6 2:19	94 2:22
312-814-5388 3:11,17	60 3:4	
312-814-8917 3:6	60602 3:10,16	
32.5 28:24	60605 3:5	
33 63:19	61 2:11	
33.1 28:23	61350 1:21	